

**Winter 2017
Recommended Review Committee Actions**

Conflict of Interest Policy

Evaluation policies and procedures used in the accreditation process provide a system of checks and balances regarding the fairness and impartiality in all aspects of the accreditation process. Central to the fairness of the procedural aspects of the Commission's operations and the impartiality of its decision making process is an organizational and personal duty to avoid real or perceived conflicts of interest. The potential for a conflict of interest arises when one's duty to make decisions in the public's interest is compromised by competing interests of a personal or private nature, including but not limited to pecuniary interests.

Conflict of interest is considered to be: 1) any relationship with an institution or program, or 2) a partiality or bias, either of which might interfere with objectivity in the accreditation review process. Procedures for selection of representatives of the Commission who participate in the evaluation process reinforce impartiality. These representatives include: Commissioners, Review Committee members, site visitors, and Commission staff.

In addition, procedures for institutional due process, as well as strict guidelines for all written documents and accreditation decisions, further reinforce adherence to fair accreditation practices. Every effort is made to avoid conflict of interest, either from the point of view of an institution/program being reviewed or from the point of view of any person representing the Commission.

On occasion, current and former volunteers involved in the Commission's accreditation process (site visitors, review committee members, commissioners) are requested to make presentations related to the Commission and its accreditation process at various meetings. In these cases, the volunteer must make it clear that the services are neither supported nor endorsed by the Commission on Dental Accreditation. Further, it must be made clear that the information provided is based only on experiences of the individual and not being provided on behalf of the Commission.

Revised: 8/15; 8/14; Reaffirmed: 8/12, 8/10

1. Visiting Committee Members: Conflicts of interest may be identified by either an institution/program, Commissioner, site visitor or Commission staff. An institution/program has the right to reject the assignment of any Commissioner, site visitor or Commission staff because of a possible or perceived conflict of interest. The Commission expects all programs, Commissioners and/or site visitors to notify the Commission office immediately if, for any reason, there may be a conflict of interest or the appearance of such a conflict. Because of the nature of their positions, a state board representative will be a resident of the state in which a program is located and may be a graduate of the institution/program being visited. These components of the policy do not apply for state board representatives, although the program retains the right to reject an individual's assignment for other reasons.

All active site visitors who independently consult with educational programs accredited by CODA or applying for accreditation must identify all consulting roles to the Commission and must file with the Commission a letter of conflict acknowledgement signed by themselves and the institution/program with whom they consulted. All conflict of interest policies as noted elsewhere in this document apply. Contact the CODA office for the appropriate conflict of interest declaration form.

Conflicts of interest include, but are not limited to, a site visitor who:

- is a graduate of a program at the institution;
- has served on the program's visiting committee within the last ten (10) years;
- has served as an independent consultant, employee or appointee of the institution;
- has a family member who is employed or affiliated with the institution;
- has a close professional or personal relationship with the institution/program or key personnel in the institution/program which would, from the standpoint of a reasonable person, create the appearance of a conflict;

- manifests a partiality that prevents objective consideration of a program for accreditation;
- is a former employee of the institution or program;
- previously applied for a position at the institution within the last five (5) years;
- is affiliated with an institution/program in the same state; and/or
- is a resident of the state.

If an institutional administrator, faculty member or site visitor has doubt as to whether or not a conflict of interest could exist, Commission staff should be consulted prior to the site visit. The Chair, Vice-Chair and a public member of the Commission, in consultation with Commission staff and legal counsel, may make a final determination about such conflicts.

Revised: 2/16; 8/14; 1/14; 2/13; 8/10; Reaffirmed: 8/12

2. Commissioners, Review Committee Members And Members Of The Appeal Board: The Commission firmly believes that conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest must be avoided in all situations in which accreditation recommendations or decisions are being made by Commissioners, Review Committee members, or members of the Appeal Board. No Commissioner, Review Committee member, or member of the Appeal Board should participate in any way in accrediting decisions in which he or she has a financial or personal interest or, because of an institutional or program association, has divided loyalties and/or has a conflict of interest on the outcome of the decision.

During the term of service as a Review Committee member, these individuals should not serve as site visitors for an actual accreditation site visit to an accredited or developing program, unless deemed necessary. Two instances when a review committee member could serve on a site visit include: 1) an inability to find a site visitor from the comprehensive site visitor list, or 2) when the review committee believes a member should attend a visit for consistency in the review process. This applies only to site visits that would be considered by the same review committee on which the site visitor is serving. Review committee members may not independently consult with a CODA-accredited program or a program applying for CODA accreditation. In addition, review committee members may not serve as a site visitor for mock accreditation purposes. These policies help avoid conflict of interest in the decision making process and minimize the need for recusals.

During the term of service as a commissioner or appeal board member, these individuals may not independently consult with a CODA-accredited program or a program applying for CODA accreditation. In addition, Commissioners or appeal board may not serve on a site visit team during their terms.

Areas of conflict of interest for Commissioners, Review Committee members and/or members of the Appeal Board include, but are not limited to:

- close professional or personal relationships or affiliation with the institution/program or key personnel in the institution/program which may create the appearance of a conflict;
- serving as an independent consultant or mock site visitor to the institution/program;
- being a graduate of the institution/program;
- being a current employee or appointee of the institution/program;
- previously applied for a position at the institution within the last five (5) years;
- being a current student at the institution/program;
- having a family member who is employed by or affiliated with the institution;
- manifesting a professional or personal interest at odds with the institution or program;
- key personnel of the institution/program having graduated from the program of the Commissioner, Review Committee member, or member of the Appeal Board;
- having served on the program's visiting committee within the last ten (10) years; and/or
- no longer a current employee of the institution or program but having been employed there within the past ten (10) years.

To safeguard the objectivity of the Review Committees, conflict of interest determinations shall be made by the Chair of the Review Committee. If the Chair, in consultation with a public member, staff and legal

counsel, determines that a Review Committee member has a conflict of interest in connection with a particular program, the Review Committee member will be instructed to not access the report either in advance of or at the time of the meeting. Further, the individual must leave the room when they have any of the above conflicts. In cases in which the existence of a conflict of interest is less obvious, it is the responsibility of any committee member who feels that a potential conflict of interest exists to absent himself/herself from the room during the discussion of the particular accreditation report.

To safeguard the objectivity of the Commission, conflict of interest determinations shall be made by the Chair of the Commission. If the Chair, in consultation with a public member, staff and legal counsel, determines that a Commissioner has a conflict of interest in connection with a particular program, the Commissioner will be instructed to not access the report either in advance of or at the time of the meeting. Further, the individual must leave the room when they have any of the above conflicts. In cases in which the existence of a conflict of interest is less obvious, it is the responsibility of any Commissioner who feels that a potential conflict of interest exists to absent himself/herself from the room during the discussion of the particular accreditation report.

To safeguard the objectivity of the Appeal Board, any member who has a conflict of interest in connection with a program filing an appeal must inform the Director of the Commission. The Appeal Board member will be instructed to not access the report for that program either in advance of or at the time of the meeting, and the individual must leave the room when the program is being discussed. If necessary, the respective representative organization will be contacted to identify a temporary replacement Appeal Board member.

Conflicts of interest for Commissioners, Review Committee members and members of the Appeal Board may also include being from the same state, but not the same program. The Commission is aware that being from the same state may not itself be a conflict; however, when residence within the same state is in addition to any of the items listed above, a conflict would exist.

This provision refers to the concept of conflict of interest in the context of accreditation decisions. The prohibitions and limitations are not intended to exclude participation and decision-making in other areas, such as policy development and standard setting.

Commissioners are expected to evaluate each accreditation action, policy decision or standard adoption for the overall good of the public. The American Dental Association (ADA) Constitution and Bylaws limits the involvement of the members of the ADA, the American Dental Education Association and the American Association of Dental Boards in areas beyond the organization that appointed them. Although Commissioners are appointed by designated communities of interest, their duty of loyalty is first and foremost to the Commission. A conflict of interest exists when a Commissioner holds appointment as an officer in another organization within the Commission's communities of interest. Therefore, a conflict of interest exists when a Commissioner or a Commissioner-designee provides simultaneous service to the Commission and an organization within the communities of interest. (Refer to Policy on Simultaneous Service)

Revised: 8/16; 2/16; 2/15; 8/14; 1/14, 8/10; Reaffirmed: 8/12

3. Commission Staff Members: Although Commission on Dental Accreditation staff does not participate directly in decisions by volunteers regarding accreditation, they are in a position to influence the outcomes of the process. On the other hand, staff provides equity and consistency among site visits and guidance interpreting the Commission's policies and procedures.

For these reasons, Commission staff adheres to the guidelines for site visitors, within the time limitations listed and with the exception of the state residency, including:

- graduation from a program at the institution within the last five years;
- service as a site visitor, employee or appointee of the institution within the last five years; and/or
- close personal or familial relationships with key personnel in the institution/program.

Revised: 8/14; 8/10, 7/09, 7/07, 7/00, 7/96, 1/95, 12/92; Reaffirmed: 8/12, 1/03; Adopted: 1982

Predoctoral Consent

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Predoctoral Dental Educational Programs: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individual was recused from the review of an educational program: Dr. Debra Weisfuse, Columbia University and University of Pennsylvania.

The Review Committee on Predoctoral Dental Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Report

Columbia University, New York, NY

dental education from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Progress Report

Loma Linda University, Loma Linda, CA

dental education from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Program Change

University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

dental education from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

University of Puerto Rico, San Juan, PR

dental education from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Postdoctoral Consent

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Postdoctoral General Dentistry Educational Programs: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individuals recused themselves during the review of selected programs: Dr. Mahnaz Fatahzadeh, Hackensack University Medical Center and Carolinas Medical Center; Dr. Judith Messura, University of Iowa, University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill and Carolinas Medical Center; Dr. William Stewart, Maimonides Medical Center and New York Medical College; Dr. Robert Strauss, University of Buffalo and The State University of New York; Dr. Michael Webb, NYU Hospitals Center.

The Review Committee on Postdoctoral General Dentistry Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs, as listed:

Site Visit Reports

St. Francis Hospital and Medical Center, Hartford, CT

**general practice residency
(12-month/optional 2nd year)** from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Howard University, Washington, DC

**general practice residency
(12-month)** from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Miami, FL

**advanced education in
general dentistry (12-month)** from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Advocate Illinois Masonic Medical Center, Chicago, IL

**general practice residency
(12-month/optional 2nd year)** from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, MD

**general practice residency
(12-month)** from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

NYU Hospitals Center, New York, New York, NY

**advanced education in
general dentistry
(12-month/optional 2nd year)** from: approval without reporting requirements
(Special Focused Site Visit: 2/2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Site Visit Reports (cont.)

New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY

general practice residency (12-month/optional 2nd year) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

East Carolina University, Greenville, NC

advanced education in general dentistry (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements (Special Focused Site Visit: 8/2015*)
to: approval without reporting requirements

University of Toledo, Toledo, OH

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Houston, TX

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Naval Medical Center, Portsmouth, VA

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Veterans Affairs Medical Center-Puget Sound, Seattle, WA

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Progress Reports

University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Overlook Medical Center, Summit, NJ

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Maimonides Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Progress Reports (cont.)

St. Joseph's Medical Center, Reading, PA

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Martinsburg, WV

advanced education in general dentistry (12-month) from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Teach-Out Reports

University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

oral medicine from: approval without reporting requirements (Teach-Out through June 30, 2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements (Teach-Out through June 30, 2017)

Reports of Program Change

St. Elizabeth Hospital/Department of Behavioral Health, Washington, DC

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Tufts University, Boston, MA

advanced education in general dentistry (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

St. Joseph Mercy Hospital-Oakland, Pontiac, MI

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Hennepin County Medical Center, Minneapolis, MN

general practice residency (12-month/optional 2nd year) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Program Change (cont.)

Hackensack University Medical Center, Hackensack, NJ

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

NYU Hospitals Center, New York, NY

advanced education in general dentistry (12-month/optional 2nd year) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY

general practice residency (12-month/optional 2nd year) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Carolinas Medical Center, Charlotte, NC

general practice residency (12-month/optional 2nd year) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

MetroHealth Medical Center, Cleveland OH

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
general practice residency (12-month/optional 2nd year) to: approval without reporting requirements

St. Vincent Charity Medical Center, Cleveland, OH

general practice residency (12-month/optional 2nd year) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs

University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

University of Buffalo, The State University of New York, Buffalo, NY

advanced education in general dentistry (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Dental Assisting Consent

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Dental Assisting Educational Programs: In accordance with Commission policy the following individuals recused themselves during the review of an educational program: Ms. Teresa Bailey, South Central College, Florence-Darlington Technical College, Center for Technology, Essex, Albany Technical College, Ivy Tech Community College, Salish Kootenai College, Fortis College, International Business College, and Umpqua Community College; Dr. Cynthia Baker, Dakota County Technical College, Brightwood College, Bradford School, Front Range Community College, Central Carolina Community College, Tennessee College of Applied Technology-Memphis, and Guilford Technical Community College; Ms. Julie Bera, Bradford School, Front Range Community College, Rowan-Cabarrus Community College, Ivy Tech Community College, Kaplan University-Omaha, and Tennessee College of Applied Technology-Memphis; Ms. Margaret Bowman-Pensel, Pinellas Technical College; Dr. Connie Kracher, University of Buffalo Educational Opportunity Center, Lincoln College of New England, and International Business College; Ms. Deanna Stentiford, Minnesota West Community and Technical College Canby, Brightwood College, El Paso Community College, Savannah Technical College, and Rowan-Cabarrus Community College; Ms. Joleen VanBibber, Bradford School, Gwinnett Technical College, Ivy Tech Community College, Hawkeye Community College, Germanna Community College, and Spokane Community College.

The Review Committee on Dental Assisting Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Reports

Dakota County Technical College, Rosemont, MN

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Florence-Darlington Technical College, Florence, SC

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Progress Reports

Lawson State Community College- Bessemer Campus, Bessemer, AL

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Orlando Technical Center, Orlando, FL

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements;
intent to withdraw (2/2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Erwin Technical College, Tampa, FL

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Progress Reports (cont.)

Savannah Technical College, Savannah, GA

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Hawkeye Community College, Waterloo, IA

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Wayne County Community College, Detroit, MI

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Rowan-Cabarrus Community College, Salisbury, NC

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Del Mar College, Corpus Christi, TX

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Lake Washington Institute of Technology, Kirkland, WA

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Program Change

John A. Logan College, Carterville, IL

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
(Teach-Out through August 2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Ivy Tech Community College, Kokomo, IN

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Guilford Technical Community College, Jamestown, NC

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Central Carolina Community College, Sanford, NC

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Program Change (cont.)

Fortis Institute, Wayne, NJ

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Burlington County Institute of Technology, Westhampton, NJ

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Linn-Benton Community College, Albany, OR

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Umpqua Community College, Roseburg, OR

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Germanna Community College, Locust Grove, VA

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Discontinued Programs

A.I. Prince Technical High School, Hartford, CT

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: discontinue

Windham Technical High School, Willimantic, CT

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: discontinue

Reports of Noncompliance

Pinellas Technical College, St. Petersburg, FL

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Dental Hygiene Consent

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Dental Hygiene Education Programs: In accordance with Commission policy the following individuals recused themselves during the review of an educational program: Ms. Laura Baus, College of DuPage; Dr. Susan Callahan-Barnard, El Centro College; Ms. Michele Carr, Baker College, Argosy University and Wayne County Community College; Ms. Barbara Krieg, Northeast Wisconsin Technical College and Milwaukee Area Technical College; Dr. Sally Mauriello, Concorde Career College Garden Grove and Indiana University School of Dentistry; Ms. JoAnn Nyquist, Wayne County Community College, Eastern International College and El Centro College.

The Review Committee on Dental Hygiene Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Reports

Flint Hills Technical College, Emporia, KS

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

University of New England, Portland, ME

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Bristol Community College, Fall River, MA

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Baker College of Clinton Township, Clinton Township, MI

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Normandale Community College, Bloomington, MN

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Minnesota State University, Mankato, MN

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Community College of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Site Visit Reports (cont.)

Florence-Darlington Technical College, Florence, SC

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Progress Reports

Lincoln College of New England, Southington, CT

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Central Georgia Technical College- North Campus, Macon, GA

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Baltimore City Community College, Baltimore, MD

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Howard Community College, Columbia, MD

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Wayne County Community College, Detroit, MI

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Argosy University, Eagan, MN

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Missouri Southern State University, Joplin, MO

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Pearl River Community College, Hattiesburg, MS

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Orange County Community College, Middletown, NY

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Program Change

West Coast University, Anaheim, CA

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Fortis College-Smyrna, Smyrna, GA

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Des Moines Area Community College, Ankeny, IA

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Rock Valley College, Rockford, IL

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Minnesota State University- Mankato, Mankato, MN

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Missouri Southern State University, Joplin, MO

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Guilford Technical Community College, Jamestown, NC

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Central Carolina Community College, Sanford, NC

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Sinclair Community College, Dayton, OH

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Program Change (cont.)

Montgomery County Community College, Blue Bell, PA

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Austin Community College District, Austin, TX

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

El Centro College, Dallas, TX

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Fortis College-Salt Lake City, Salt Lake City, UT

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Seattle Central College, Seattle, WA

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Northeast Wisconsin Technical College, Green Bay, WI

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs

West Coast University, Anaheim, CA

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Discontinued Programs

Sanford-Brown College-Jacksonville, Jacksonville, FL

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
(Teach-Out through October 2016)
to: discontinue

Reports of Noncompliance

The Community College of Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Austin Community College, Austin, TX

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Complaint

North Central Missouri College, Trenton, MO

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Dental Laboratory Technology Consent

Dental Public Health Consent

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Advanced Specialty Education Programs in Dental Public Health: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individuals recused themselves during the review of an education program: Dr. Donald Altman, NYU Hospitals Center and Dr. Linda Kaste, NYU Hospitals Center.

The Review Committee on Dental Public Health Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Progress Report

NYU Hospitals Center, New York, NY

dental public health

from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Endodontics Consent

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Endodontics Educational Programs: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individual was recused from the review of an educational program: Dr. Brian Bergeron, Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical Center-59th Medical Wing (WHASC); Dr. Bradford Johnson, Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical Center-59th Medical Wing (WHASC); Dr. Robert Miller, West Virginia University and Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical Center-59th Medical Wing (WHASC).

The Review Committee on Endodontics Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Reports

University of the Pacific, San Francisco, CA

endodontics from: initial accreditation
(Pre-Graduation Site Visit: 2/2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Columbia University, New York, NY

endodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR

endodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Request for Authorized Enrollment Increase

Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical Center-59th Medical Wing (WHASC), Lackland AFB, TX

endodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology Consent

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Advanced Specialty Education Programs in Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individuals recused themselves during the review of an education program: Dr. Darren Cox, University of California at San Francisco, Dr. Mark Lerman, Harvard University, Dr. Kurt Summersgill, University of Michigan and Dr. Matthew Wheeler, University of Michigan.

The Review Committee on Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Request for Authorized Enrollment Increase

University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

oral and maxillofacial pathology	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
	to: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements

Reports of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs

Harvard University, Boston, MA

oral and maxillofacial pathology	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
	to: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements

Discontinued Program

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

oral and maxillofacial pathology	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
	to: discontinue

Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology Consent

Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Consent

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Educational Programs: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individuals were recused from the review of an educational program: Dr. Gregory Ness, Allegheny General Hospital, San Antonio Military Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Residency, and US Army Dental Activity/Ft. Bragg; Dr. Vincent Perciaccante, Dwight David Eisenhower Army Medical Center/US Army Dental Activity/Ft Gordon, Lincoln Medical and Mental Health Center, Mount Sinai Medical Center-New York, University of Cincinnati, and University of Washington; Dr. David Stanton, Allegheny General Hospital, Drexel University, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, University of Oklahoma Health Science Center, and University of Pittsburgh; and Ms. Cindy Stergar, Cook County Hospital/John H. Stroger, Jr.

The Review Committee on Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Reports

University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (4)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (4)

Louisiana State University, Shreveport, LA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (4)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (4)

University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (3)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (3)

Boston University, Boston, MA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (4)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (4)

Tufts University, Boston, MA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (2)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (2)

Detroit Receiving Hospital, Detroit, MI

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (2)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (2)

Mayo School of Graduate Medical Education, Rochester, MN

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (2)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (2)

Site Visit Reports (cont.)

Lincoln Medical & Mental Health Center, Bronx, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (1)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (1)

Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (5)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (5)

New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (2)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (2)

University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (3)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (3)

Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (2)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (2)

Progress Reports

US Army Dental Activity/Ft. Bragg, Fort Bragg, NC

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval with reporting requirements (2) (8/2018)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (2)

University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval with reporting requirements (3) (8/2018)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (3)

Allegheny General Hospital, Pittsburgh, PA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval with reporting requirements (2);
residency intent to withdraw (2/2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements (2)

Reports of Program Change

Banner-University Medical Center Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (2)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (2)

Brooklyn Hospital Center, Brooklyn, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (3)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (3)

Northwell Health, New Hyde Park, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (3)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (3)

San Antonio Military Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Residency, Lackland AFB, TX

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (5)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (5)

University of Washington, Seattle, WA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (3)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (3)

Request for Authorized Enrollment Increase

Louisiana State University, Shreveport, LA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (1)
clinical fellowship-oncology to: approval without reporting requirements (1)

Boston University, Boston, MA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (4)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (4)

Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, PA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (3)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (4)

Reports of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs

Dwight David Eisenhower Army Medical Center/US Army Dental Activity/Ft Gordon, Ft. Gordon, GA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (1)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (1)

Louisiana State University, Shreveport, LA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (4)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (4)

Reports of Noncompliance

Nova Southeastern University/Broward General Medical Center, Fort Lauderdale, FL

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (3)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (3)

Brookdale Hospital Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval with reporting requirements (2) (2/2018)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (2)

Allegheny General Hospital, Pittsburgh, PA

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (2)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (2)

Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval with reporting requirements (1) (2/2018)
residency to: approval without reporting requirements (1)

Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics Consent

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Orthodontics Educational Programs: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individuals were recused from the review of an educational program: Dr. G. Frans Currier, University of Pennsylvania; Dr. James Hartsfield, Nova Southeastern University, University of Illinois at Chicago, and University of Louisville; Dr. H. Garland Hershey, Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical Center-59th Medical Wing (WHASC); and Dr. Wendy Woodall, Nova Southeastern University, Oregon Health and Science University, and University of Louisville.

The Review Committee on Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Reports

Mayo School of Graduate Medical Education, Rochester, MN

orthodontics and from: approval without reporting requirements
dentofacial orthopedics to: approval without reporting requirements

Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, NY

orthodontics and from: approval without reporting requirements
dentofacial orthopedics to: approval without reporting requirements

Columbia University, New York, NY

orthodontics and from: approval without reporting requirements
dentofacial orthopedics to: approval without reporting requirements

Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR

orthodontics and from: approval without reporting requirements
dentofacial orthopedics to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Program Change

Nova Southeastern University, Ft. Lauderdale, FL

orthodontics and from: approval without reporting requirements
dentofacial orthopedics to: approval without reporting requirements

Jacksonville University, Jacksonville, FL

orthodontics and from: approval without reporting requirements
dentofacial orthopedics to: approval without reporting requirements

Requests for Authorized Enrollment Increase

Jacksonville University, Jacksonville, FL

orthodontics and from: approval without reporting requirements
dentofacial orthopedics to: approval without reporting requirements

Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical Center-59th Medical Wing (WHASC), Lackland AFB, TX

orthodontics and from: approval without reporting requirements
dentofacial orthopedics to: approval without reporting requirements

Discontinued Program

Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

orthodontics and from: approval without reporting requirements
dentofacial orthopedics (Teach-Out through August 31, 2016)
to: discontinue

Pediatric Dentistry Consent

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Advanced Specialty Education Programs in Pediatric Dentistry: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individuals recused themselves during the review of an education program: Dr. Martin Donaldson, Saint Louis University Health Science Center, Dr. Catherine Flaitz, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston and Ohio State University, Mr. Gerald Phipps, University of Illinois at Chicago, and Dr. Janice Townsend, Ohio State University, East Carolina University, and Brookdale Hospital.

The Review Committee on Pediatric Dentistry Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Reports

Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, NY

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

University of Toledo, Toledo, OH

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Report of Program Change

University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Ohio State University, Columbus, OH

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Requests for Authorized Enrollment Increase

University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Yale New Haven Hospital, New Haven, CT

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

St. Barnabas Hospital, Bronx, NY

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

NYU Hospitals Center, New York, NY

pediatric dentistry from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Geisinger Medical Center, Danville, PA

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Primary Children's Hospital, Salt Lake City, UT

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Periodontics Consent

Requests for Authorized Enrollment Increase

University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL

periodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

periodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Prosthodontics Consent

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Advanced Specialty Education Programs in Prosthodontics: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individuals recused themselves during the review of an education program: Dr. Louis DiPede, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center and Louisiana State University and Dr. Hiroshi Hirayama, Columbia University, Tuft's University and Louisiana State University.

The Review Committee on Prosthodontic Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Reports

Mayo School of Graduate Medical Education, Rochester, MN

prosthodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

**maxillofacial
prosthetics** from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, NY

prosthodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Columbia University, New York, NY

prosthodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Houston, TX

prosthodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Teach Out Report

Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Washington, DC

prosthodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
(Teach-out through June 30, 2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements
(Teach-out through June 30, 2017)

Requests for Authorized Enrollment Increase

Loma Linda University, Loma Linda, CA

prosthodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Tufts University, Boston, MA

prosthodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Mayo School of Graduate Medical Education, Rochester MN

maxillofacial from: approval without reporting requirements
prosthetics to: approval without reporting requirements

University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

maxillofacial from: approval without reporting requirements
prosthetics to: approval without reporting requirements

Conflict of Interest Policy

Evaluation policies and procedures used in the accreditation process provide a system of checks and balances regarding the fairness and impartiality in all aspects of the accreditation process. Central to the fairness of the procedural aspects of the Commission's operations and the impartiality of its decision making process is an organizational and personal duty to avoid real or perceived conflicts of interest. The potential for a conflict of interest arises when one's duty to make decisions in the public's interest is compromised by competing interests of a personal or private nature, including but not limited to pecuniary interests.

Conflict of interest is considered to be: 1) any relationship with an institution or program, or 2) a partiality or bias, either of which might interfere with objectivity in the accreditation review process. Procedures for selection of representatives of the Commission who participate in the evaluation process reinforce impartiality. These representatives include: Commissioners, Review Committee members, site visitors, and Commission staff.

In addition, procedures for institutional due process, as well as strict guidelines for all written documents and accreditation decisions, further reinforce adherence to fair accreditation practices. Every effort is made to avoid conflict of interest, either from the point of view of an institution/program being reviewed or from the point of view of any person representing the Commission.

On occasion, current and former volunteers involved in the Commission's accreditation process (site visitors, review committee members, commissioners) are requested to make presentations related to the Commission and its accreditation process at various meetings. In these cases, the volunteer must make it clear that the services are neither supported nor endorsed by the Commission on Dental Accreditation. Further, it must be made clear that the information provided is based only on experiences of the individual and not being provided on behalf of the Commission.

Revised: 8/15; 8/14; Reaffirmed: 8/12, 8/10

1. Visiting Committee Members: Conflicts of interest may be identified by either an institution/program, Commissioner, site visitor or Commission staff. An institution/program has the right to reject the assignment of any Commissioner, site visitor or Commission staff because of a possible or perceived conflict of interest. The Commission expects all programs, Commissioners and/or site visitors to notify the Commission office immediately if, for any reason, there may be a conflict of interest or the appearance of such a conflict. Because of the nature of their positions, a state board representative will be a resident of the state in which a program is located and may be a graduate of the institution/program being visited. These components of the policy do not apply for state board representatives, although the program retains the right to reject an individual's assignment for other reasons.

All active site visitors who independently consult with educational programs accredited by CODA or applying for accreditation must identify all consulting roles to the Commission and must file with the Commission a letter of conflict acknowledgement signed by themselves and the institution/program with whom they consulted. All conflict of interest policies as noted elsewhere in this document apply. Contact the CODA office for the appropriate conflict of interest declaration form.

Conflicts of interest include, but are not limited to, a site visitor who:

- is a graduate of a program at the institution;
- has served on the program's visiting committee within the last ten (10) years;
- has served as an independent consultant, employee or appointee of the institution;
- has a family member who is employed or affiliated with the institution;
- has a close professional or personal relationship with the institution/program or key personnel in the institution/program which would, from the standpoint of a reasonable person, create the appearance of a conflict;
- manifests a partiality that prevents objective consideration of a program for accreditation;
- is a former employee of the institution or program;
- previously applied for a position at the institution within the last five (5) years;

- is affiliated with an institution/program in the same state; and/or
- is a resident of the state.

If an institutional administrator, faculty member or site visitor has doubt as to whether or not a conflict of interest could exist, Commission staff should be consulted prior to the site visit. The Chair, Vice-Chair and a public member of the Commission, in consultation with Commission staff and legal counsel, may make a final determination about such conflicts.

Revised: 2/16; 8/14; 1/14; 2/13; 8/10; Reaffirmed: 8/12

2. Commissioners, Review Committee Members And Members Of The Appeal Board: The Commission firmly believes that conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest must be avoided in all situations in which accreditation recommendations or decisions are being made by Commissioners, Review Committee members, or members of the Appeal Board. No Commissioner, Review Committee member, or member of the Appeal Board should participate in any way in accrediting decisions in which he or she has a financial or personal interest or, because of an institutional or program association, has divided loyalties and/or has a conflict of interest on the outcome of the decision.

During the term of service as a Review Committee member, these individuals should not serve as site visitors for an actual accreditation site visit to an accredited or developing program, unless deemed necessary. Two instances when a review committee member could serve on a site visit include: 1) an inability to find a site visitor from the comprehensive site visitor list, or 2) when the review committee believes a member should attend a visit for consistency in the review process. This applies only to site visits that would be considered by the same review committee on which the site visitor is serving. Review committee members may not independently consult with a CODA-accredited program or a program applying for CODA accreditation. In addition, review committee members may not serve as a site visitor for mock accreditation purposes. These policies help avoid conflict of interest in the decision making process and minimize the need for recusals.

During the term of service as a commissioner or appeal board member, these individuals may not independently consult with a CODA-accredited program or a program applying for CODA accreditation. In addition, Commissioners or appeal board may not serve on a site visit team during their terms.

Areas of conflict of interest for Commissioners, Review Committee members and/or members of the Appeal Board include, but are not limited to:

- close professional or personal relationships or affiliation with the institution/program or key personnel in the institution/program which may create the appearance of a conflict;
- serving as an independent consultant or mock site visitor to the institution/program;
- being a graduate of the institution/program;
- being a current employee or appointee of the institution/program;
- previously applied for a position at the institution within the last five (5) years;
- being a current student at the institution/program;
- having a family member who is employed by or affiliated with the institution;
- manifesting a professional or personal interest at odds with the institution or program;
- key personnel of the institution/program having graduated from the program of the Commissioner, Review Committee member, or member of the Appeal Board;
- having served on the program's visiting committee within the last ten (10) years; and/or
- no longer a current employee of the institution or program but having been employed there within the past ten (10) years.

To safeguard the objectivity of the Review Committees, conflict of interest determinations shall be made by the Chair of the Review Committee. If the Chair, in consultation with a public member, staff and legal counsel, determines that a Review Committee member has a conflict of interest in connection with a particular program, the Review Committee member will be instructed to not access the report either in

advance of or at the time of the meeting. Further, the individual must leave the room when they have any of the above conflicts. In cases in which the existence of a conflict of interest is less obvious, it is the responsibility of any committee member who feels that a potential conflict of interest exists to absent himself/herself from the room during the discussion of the particular accreditation report.

To safeguard the objectivity of the Commission, conflict of interest determinations shall be made by the Chair of the Commission. If the Chair, in consultation with a public member, staff and legal counsel, determines that a Commissioner has a conflict of interest in connection with a particular program, the Commissioner will be instructed to not access the report either in advance of or at the time of the meeting. Further, the individual must leave the room when they have any of the above conflicts. In cases in which the existence of a conflict of interest is less obvious, it is the responsibility of any Commissioner who feels that a potential conflict of interest exists to absent himself/herself from the room during the discussion of the particular accreditation report.

To safeguard the objectivity of the Appeal Board, any member who has a conflict of interest in connection with a program filing an appeal must inform the Director of the Commission. The Appeal Board member will be instructed to not access the report for that program either in advance of or at the time of the meeting, and the individual must leave the room when the program is being discussed. If necessary, the respective representative organization will be contacted to identify a temporary replacement Appeal Board member.

Conflicts of interest for Commissioners, Review Committee members and members of the Appeal Board may also include being from the same state, but not the same program. The Commission is aware that being from the same state may not itself be a conflict; however, when residence within the same state is in addition to any of the items listed above, a conflict would exist.

This provision refers to the concept of conflict of interest in the context of accreditation decisions. The prohibitions and limitations are not intended to exclude participation and decision-making in other areas, such as policy development and standard setting.

Commissioners are expected to evaluate each accreditation action, policy decision or standard adoption for the overall good of the public. The American Dental Association (ADA) Constitution and Bylaws limits the involvement of the members of the ADA, the American Dental Education Association and the American Association of Dental Boards in areas beyond the organization that appointed them. Although Commissioners are appointed by designated communities of interest, their duty of loyalty is first and foremost to the Commission. A conflict of interest exists when a Commissioner holds appointment as an officer in another organization within the Commission's communities of interest. Therefore, a conflict of interest exists when a Commissioner or a Commissioner-designee provides simultaneous service to the Commission and an organization within the communities of interest. (Refer to Policy on Simultaneous Service)

Revised: 8/16; 2/16; 2/15; 8/14; 1/14, 8/10; Reaffirmed: 8/12

3. Commission Staff Members: Although Commission on Dental Accreditation staff does not participate directly in decisions by volunteers regarding accreditation, they are in a position to influence the outcomes of the process. On the other hand, staff provides equity and consistency among site visits and guidance interpreting the Commission's policies and procedures.

For these reasons, Commission staff adheres to the guidelines for site visitors, within the time limitations listed and with the exception of the state residency, including:

- graduation from a program at the institution within the last five years;
- service as a site visitor, employee or appointee of the institution within the last five years; and/or
- close personal or familial relationships with key personnel in the institution/program.

Revised: 8/14; 8/10, 7/09, 7/07, 7/00, 7/96, 1/95, 12/92; Reaffirmed: 8/12, 1/03; Adopted: 1982

Site Visit Reports

Tufts University, Boston, MA

orofacial pain from: new program
to: approval without reporting requirements

Minnesota West Community and Technical College, Canby, MN

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Brightwood College, Charlotte, NC

dental assisting from: new program
to: initial accreditation
(pre-grad site visit: 2/2018)

Educational Opportunity Center at State University of New York-Buffalo, Buffalo, NY

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

University of Buffalo, The State University of New York, Buffalo, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval with reporting requirements (2) (8/2018)
residency to: approval with reporting requirements (2) (8/2018)

NYU Hospitals Center, New York, NY

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR

dental education from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

El Paso Community College, El Paso, TX

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Texas A&M University, Dallas, TX

dental public health from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

University of Tennessee, Memphis, TN

advanced education in from: new program
general dentistry (12-month) to: initial accreditation
(Pre-graduation site visit: 8/2018)

Progress Reports

University of California, San Francisco, CA

oral medicine from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2017)
to: approval with reporting requirements;
intent to withdraw (8/2017)

East Carolina University, Greenville, NC

pediatric dentistry from: initial accreditation
(Pre-Graduation Site Visit: 8/2018)
to: initial accreditation
(Pre-Graduation Site Visit: 8/2018)

Teach-Out Reports

University of California-Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA

dental anesthesiology from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements
(Teach-Out through June 30, 2018)

University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

**oral and maxillofacial
pathology** from: initial accreditation
to: initial accreditation
(Teach-out through June 30, 2017)

Indian River State College, Ft. Pierce, FL

dental laboratory technology from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements
(Teach-Out through May 2017)

Reports of Program Change

University of Louisville, Louisville, KY

dental education from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

endodontics from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

**general practice residency
(12-month/optional 2nd year)** from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Program Change (cont.)

University of Louisville, Louisville, KY (cont.)

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements (2) to: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements (2)
orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements to: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
periodontics	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements to: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
pediatric dentistry	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements to: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
prosthodontics	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements to: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements

Naval Postgraduate Dental School, Bethesda, MD

advanced education in general dentistry (24-month)	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements to: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
---	--

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC

advanced education in general dentistry (12-month)	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements to: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
---	--

Horry Georgetown Technical College, Myrtle Beach, SC

dental hygiene	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements to: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
-----------------------	--

Milwaukee Area Technical College, Milwaukee, WI

dental hygiene	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements to: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
-----------------------	--

Requests for Authorized Enrollment Increase

University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements to: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
---	--

University of Buffalo, The State University of New York, Buffalo, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency	from: approval <u>with</u> reporting requirements (2) (8/2018) to: approval <u>with</u> reporting requirements (2) (8/2018)
---	--

Reports of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC

advanced education in general dentistry (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Geisinger Medical Center, Danville, PA

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency from: initial accreditation (2)
(Interim Site Visit: 8/2018)
to: initial accreditation (2)
(Interim Site Visit: 8/2018)

Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency from: approval without reporting requirements (1)
to: approval with reporting requirements (1) (2/2019)

Request for Extension of Accreditation

Marshfield Clinic, Marshfield, WI

advanced education in general dentistry (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Noncompliance

University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

endodontics from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Denver Health Medical Center, Denver, CO

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency from: approval without reporting requirements (2)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2) (2/2019)

University of Mississippi, Jackson, MS

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency from: approval without reporting requirements (2)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2) (2/2019)

University of Buffalo, The State University of New York, Buffalo, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency from: approval with reporting requirements (2) (8/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2) (8/2018)

Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency from: approval without reporting requirements (2)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2) (2/2019)

Conflict of Interest Policy

Evaluation policies and procedures used in the accreditation process provide a system of checks and balances regarding the fairness and impartiality in all aspects of the accreditation process. Central to the fairness of the procedural aspects of the Commission's operations and the impartiality of its decision making process is an organizational and personal duty to avoid real or perceived conflicts of interest. The potential for a conflict of interest arises when one's duty to make decisions in the public's interest is compromised by competing interests of a personal or private nature, including but not limited to pecuniary interests.

Conflict of interest is considered to be: 1) any relationship with an institution or program, or 2) a partiality or bias, either of which might interfere with objectivity in the accreditation review process. Procedures for selection of representatives of the Commission who participate in the evaluation process reinforce impartiality. These representatives include: Commissioners, Review Committee members, site visitors, and Commission staff.

In addition, procedures for institutional due process, as well as strict guidelines for all written documents and accreditation decisions, further reinforce adherence to fair accreditation practices. Every effort is made to avoid conflict of interest, either from the point of view of an institution/program being reviewed or from the point of view of any person representing the Commission.

On occasion, current and former volunteers involved in the Commission's accreditation process (site visitors, review committee members, commissioners) are requested to make presentations related to the Commission and its accreditation process at various meetings. In these cases, the volunteer must make it clear that the services are neither supported nor endorsed by the Commission on Dental Accreditation. Further, it must be made clear that the information provided is based only on experiences of the individual and not being provided on behalf of the Commission.

Revised: 8/15; 8/14; Reaffirmed: 8/12, 8/10

1. Visiting Committee Members: Conflicts of interest may be identified by either an institution/program, Commissioner, site visitor or Commission staff. An institution/program has the right to reject the assignment of any Commissioner, site visitor or Commission staff because of a possible or perceived conflict of interest. The Commission expects all programs, Commissioners and/or site visitors to notify the Commission office immediately if, for any reason, there may be a conflict of interest or the appearance of such a conflict. Because of the nature of their positions, a state board representative will be a resident of the state in which a program is located and may be a graduate of the institution/program being visited. These components of the policy do not apply for state board representatives, although the program retains the right to reject an individual's assignment for other reasons.

All active site visitors who independently consult with educational programs accredited by CODA or applying for accreditation must identify all consulting roles to the Commission and must file with the Commission a letter of conflict acknowledgement signed by themselves and the institution/program with whom they consulted. All conflict of interest policies as noted elsewhere in this document apply. Contact the CODA office for the appropriate conflict of interest declaration form.

Conflicts of interest include, but are not limited to, a site visitor who:

- is a graduate of a program at the institution;
- has served on the program's visiting committee within the last ten (10) years;
- has served as an independent consultant, employee or appointee of the institution;
- has a family member who is employed or affiliated with the institution;
- has a close professional or personal relationship with the institution/program or key personnel in the institution/program which would, from the standpoint of a reasonable person, create the appearance of a conflict;
- manifests a partiality that prevents objective consideration of a program for accreditation;
- is a former employee of the institution or program;
- previously applied for a position at the institution within the last five (5) years;

- is affiliated with an institution/program in the same state; and/or
- is a resident of the state.

If an institutional administrator, faculty member or site visitor has doubt as to whether or not a conflict of interest could exist, Commission staff should be consulted prior to the site visit. The Chair, Vice-Chair and a public member of the Commission, in consultation with Commission staff and legal counsel, may make a final determination about such conflicts.

Revised: 2/16; 8/14; 1/14; 2/13; 8/10; Reaffirmed: 8/12

2. Commissioners, Review Committee Members And Members Of The Appeal Board: The Commission firmly believes that conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest must be avoided in all situations in which accreditation recommendations or decisions are being made by Commissioners, Review Committee members, or members of the Appeal Board. No Commissioner, Review Committee member, or member of the Appeal Board should participate in any way in accrediting decisions in which he or she has a financial or personal interest or, because of an institutional or program association, has divided loyalties and/or has a conflict of interest on the outcome of the decision.

During the term of service as a Review Committee member, these individuals should not serve as site visitors for an actual accreditation site visit to an accredited or developing program, unless deemed necessary. Two instances when a review committee member could serve on a site visit include: 1) an inability to find a site visitor from the comprehensive site visitor list, or 2) when the review committee believes a member should attend a visit for consistency in the review process. This applies only to site visits that would be considered by the same review committee on which the site visitor is serving. Review committee members may not independently consult with a CODA-accredited program or a program applying for CODA accreditation. In addition, review committee members may not serve as a site visitor for mock accreditation purposes. These policies help avoid conflict of interest in the decision making process and minimize the need for recusals.

During the term of service as a commissioner or appeal board member, these individuals may not independently consult with a CODA-accredited program or a program applying for CODA accreditation. In addition, Commissioners or appeal board may not serve on a site visit team during their terms.

Areas of conflict of interest for Commissioners, Review Committee members and/or members of the Appeal Board include, but are not limited to:

- close professional or personal relationships or affiliation with the institution/program or key personnel in the institution/program which may create the appearance of a conflict;
- serving as an independent consultant or mock site visitor to the institution/program;
- being a graduate of the institution/program;
- being a current employee or appointee of the institution/program;
- previously applied for a position at the institution within the last five (5) years;
- being a current student at the institution/program;
- having a family member who is employed by or affiliated with the institution;
- manifesting a professional or personal interest at odds with the institution or program;
- key personnel of the institution/program having graduated from the program of the Commissioner, Review Committee member, or member of the Appeal Board;
- having served on the program's visiting committee within the last ten (10) years; and/or
- no longer a current employee of the institution or program but having been employed there within the past ten (10) years.

To safeguard the objectivity of the Review Committees, conflict of interest determinations shall be made by the Chair of the Review Committee. If the Chair, in consultation with a public member, staff and legal counsel, determines that a Review Committee member has a conflict of interest in connection with a particular program, the Review Committee member will be instructed to not access the report either in

advance of or at the time of the meeting. Further, the individual must leave the room when they have any of the above conflicts. In cases in which the existence of a conflict of interest is less obvious, it is the responsibility of any committee member who feels that a potential conflict of interest exists to absent himself/herself from the room during the discussion of the particular accreditation report.

To safeguard the objectivity of the Commission, conflict of interest determinations shall be made by the Chair of the Commission. If the Chair, in consultation with a public member, staff and legal counsel, determines that a Commissioner has a conflict of interest in connection with a particular program, the Commissioner will be instructed to not access the report either in advance of or at the time of the meeting. Further, the individual must leave the room when they have any of the above conflicts. In cases in which the existence of a conflict of interest is less obvious, it is the responsibility of any Commissioner who feels that a potential conflict of interest exists to absent himself/herself from the room during the discussion of the particular accreditation report.

To safeguard the objectivity of the Appeal Board, any member who has a conflict of interest in connection with a program filing an appeal must inform the Director of the Commission. The Appeal Board member will be instructed to not access the report for that program either in advance of or at the time of the meeting, and the individual must leave the room when the program is being discussed. If necessary, the respective representative organization will be contacted to identify a temporary replacement Appeal Board member.

Conflicts of interest for Commissioners, Review Committee members and members of the Appeal Board may also include being from the same state, but not the same program. The Commission is aware that being from the same state may not itself be a conflict; however, when residence within the same state is in addition to any of the items listed above, a conflict would exist.

This provision refers to the concept of conflict of interest in the context of accreditation decisions. The prohibitions and limitations are not intended to exclude participation and decision-making in other areas, such as policy development and standard setting.

Commissioners are expected to evaluate each accreditation action, policy decision or standard adoption for the overall good of the public. The American Dental Association (ADA) Constitution and Bylaws limits the involvement of the members of the ADA, the American Dental Education Association and the American Association of Dental Boards in areas beyond the organization that appointed them. Although Commissioners are appointed by designated communities of interest, their duty of loyalty is first and foremost to the Commission. A conflict of interest exists when a Commissioner holds appointment as an officer in another organization within the Commission's communities of interest. Therefore, a conflict of interest exists when a Commissioner or a Commissioner-designee provides simultaneous service to the Commission and an organization within the communities of interest. (Refer to Policy on Simultaneous Service)

Revised: 8/16; 2/16; 2/15; 8/14; 1/14, 8/10; Reaffirmed: 8/12

3. Commission Staff Members: Although Commission on Dental Accreditation staff does not participate directly in decisions by volunteers regarding accreditation, they are in a position to influence the outcomes of the process. On the other hand, staff provides equity and consistency among site visits and guidance interpreting the Commission's policies and procedures.

For these reasons, Commission staff adheres to the guidelines for site visitors, within the time limitations listed and with the exception of the state residency, including:

- graduation from a program at the institution within the last five years;
- service as a site visitor, employee or appointee of the institution within the last five years; and/or
- close personal or familial relationships with key personnel in the institution/program.

Revised: 8/14; 8/10, 7/09, 7/07, 7/00, 7/96, 1/95, 12/92; Reaffirmed: 8/12, 1/03; Adopted: 1982

Predoctoral

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Predoctoral Dental Educational Programs: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individual was recused from the review of an educational program: Dr. Charlotte Royeen, Howard University.

The Review Committee on Predoctoral Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Reports

Howard University, Washington, DC

dental education from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Progress Reports

Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine (LECOM), Bradenton, FL

dental education from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Complaints

Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine (LECOM), Bradenton, FL

dental education from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Louisiana State University, New Orleans, LA

dental education from: approval without reporting requirement
to: approval without reporting requirements

Meharry Medical College, Nashville, TN

dental education from: approval without reporting requirement
to: approval without reporting requirements

Postdoctoral

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Postdoctoral General Dentistry Educational Programs: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individuals recused themselves during the review of selected programs: Dr. Mahnaz Fatahzadeh, Hackensack University Medical Center and Carolinas Medical Center; Dr. Judith Messura, University of Iowa, University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill, and Carolinas Medical Center; Dr. William Stewart, Maimonides Medical Center and New York Medical College; Dr. Robert Strauss, University of Buffalo, The State University of New York; Dr. Michael Webb, NYU Hospitals Center.

The Review Committee on Postdoctoral General Dentistry Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs, as listed:

Site Visit Reports

Howard University, Washington, DC

advanced education in general dentistry (12-month)	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements to: approval <u>with</u> reporting requirements (8/2018)
---	--

Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Augusta, GA

advanced education in general dentistry	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements to: approval <u>with</u> reporting requirements (8/2018)
--	--

Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, NY

general practice residency (12-month/optional 2nd year)	from: approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements to: approval <u>with</u> reporting requirements (8/2018)
---	--

Progress Reports

Berkshire Medical Center, Pittsfield, MA

general practice residency (12-month)	from: approval <u>with</u> reporting requirements (2/2018) to: approval <u>with</u> reporting requirements (2/2018)
--	--

Harlem Hospital Center, New York, NY

general practice residency (12-month)	from: approval <u>with</u> reporting requirements (2/2018) to: approval <u>with</u> reporting requirements (2/2018)
--	--

Reports of Program Change

Berkshire Medical Center, Pittsfield, MA

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)

Flushing Hospital Medical Center, Flushing, NY

general practice residency (12-month) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs

Dade County Dental Research Clinic d/b/a Florida Institute for Advanced Dental Education, Miami, FL

advanced education in general dentistry (12-month/optional 2nd year) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY

general practice residency (12-month/optional 2nd year) from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements (Special Focused Site Visit: 8/2018)

Requests for Change in Site Visit Date

NYU Hospitals Center, New York, NY

advanced education in general dentistry (12-month/optional 2nd year) from: approval without reporting requirements (Site Visit: June 7, 2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements (Site Visit: June 7, 2017)

Report of Noncompliance

Mountain Area Health Education Center, Asheville, NC

general practice residency (12-month/optional 2nd year) from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2017)
to: approval with reporting requirements intent to withdraw (8/2017)

Complaint

Jacobi Medical Center, Bronx, NY

dental anesthesiology from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Dental Assisting

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Dental Assisting Educational Programs: In accordance with Commission policy the following individuals recused themselves during the review of an educational program: Ms. Teresa Bailey, South Central College, Florence-Darlington Technical College, Center for Technology, Essex, Albany Technical College, Ivy Tech Community College, Salish Kootenai College, Fortis College, International Business College, and Umpqua Community College; Dr. Cynthia Baker, Dakota County Technical College, Brightwood College, Bradford School, Front Range Community College, Central Carolina Community College, Tennessee College of Applied Technology-Memphis, and Guilford Technical Community College; Ms. Julie Bera, Bradford School, Front Range Community College, Rowan-Cabarrus Community College, Ivy Tech Community College, Kaplan University-Omaha, and Tennessee College of Applied Technology-Memphis; Ms. Margaret Bowman-Pensel, Pinellas Technical College; Dr. Connie Kracher, University of Buffalo Educational Opportunity Center, Lincoln College of New England, and International Business College; Ms. Deanna Stentiford, Minnesota West Community and Technical College Canby, Brightwood College, El Paso Community College, Savannah Technical College, and Rowan-Cabarrus Community College; Ms. Joleen VanBibber, Bradford School, Gwinnett Technical College, Ivy Tech Community College, Hawkeye Community College, Germanna Community College, and Spokane Community College.

The Review Committee on Dental Assisting Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Reports

South Central College, North Mankato, MN

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Martin Community College, Williamston, NC

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Truckee Meadows Community College, Reno, NV

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Bradford School, Pittsburgh, PA

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements;
intent to withdraw, imposed period of nonenrollment
(8/2017)

Center for Technology, Essex, Essex Junction, VT

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Progress Reports

Pickens Technical College, Aurora, CO

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements;
intent to withdraw (8/2017)

Front Range Community College, Fort Collins, CO

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)

Robert Morgan Educational Center and Technical College, Miami, FL

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)

Atlanta Technical College, Atlanta, GA

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2017)
to: approval with reporting requirements;
intent to withdraw (8/2017)

Gwinnett Technical College, Lawrenceville, GA

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements;
intent to withdraw (8/2017)

Miller-Motte College, Wilmington, NC

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements
intent to withdraw (8/2017)
to: approval with reporting requirements
intent to withdraw (8/2017)

Seattle Vocational Institute, Seattle, WA

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)

Reports of Program Change

College of Alameda, Alameda, CA

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Pasadena City College, Pasadena, CA

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Albany Technical College, Albany, GA

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

South Central College, North Mankato, MN

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Kaplan University-Omaha, Omaha, NE

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Fortis College, Cuyahoga Falls, OH

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Tennessee College of Applied Technology-Memphis, Memphis, TN

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs

Salish Kootenai College, Pablo, MT

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Noncompliance

Tunxis Community College, Farmington, CT

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Lincoln College of New England, Southington, CT

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

International Business College, Indianapolis, IN

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Miller-Motte College, Raleigh, NC

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Miller-Motte College, Wilmington, NC

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements
intent to withdraw (8/2017)
to: approval with reporting requirements
intent to withdraw (8/2017)

Miami-Jacobs Career College, Springboro, OH

dental assisting from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Bradford School, Pittsburgh, PA

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements;
intent to withdraw, imposed period of nonenrollment
(8/2017)
to: approval with reporting requirements;
intent to withdraw, imposed period of nonenrollment

Spokane Community College, Spokane, WA

dental assisting from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)

Dental Hygiene

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Dental Hygiene Education Programs: In accordance with Commission policy the following individuals recused themselves during the review of an educational program: Ms. Laura Baus, College of DuPage; Dr. Susan Callahan-Barnard, El Centro College; Ms. Michele Carr, Baker College, Argosy University and Wayne County Community College; Ms. Barbara Krieg, Northeast Wisconsin Technical College and Milwaukee Area Technical College; Dr. Sally Mauriello, Concorde Career College Garden Grove and Indiana University School of Dentistry; Ms. JoAnn Nyquist, Wayne County Community College, Eastern International College and El Centro College.

The Review Committee on Dental Hygiene Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Reports

Concorde Career College-Garden Grove, Garden Grove, CA

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Howard University, Washington, DC

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Oregon Institute of Technology, Klamath Falls, OR

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Virginia Western Community College, Roanoke, VA

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

El Paso Community College, El Paso, TX

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Progress Reports

Colorado Northwestern Community College, Rangely, CO

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)

Southern University, Shreveport, LA

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2017)
to: approval with reporting requirements;
intent to withdraw (8/2017)

Progress Reportsv (cont.)

Hagerstown Community College, Hagerstown, MD

dental hygiene from: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (8/2018)

Teach-Out Reports

John A. Logan College, Carterville, IL

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
(Teach-Out through August 3, 2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements
(Teach-Out through August 3, 2017)

Reports of Program Change

Pasadena City College, Pasadena, CA

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

College of DuPage, Glen Ellyn, IL

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Eastern International College, Jersey City, NJ

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Noncompliance

Fortis Institute, Birmingham, AL

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Fortis College, Landover, MD

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Fortis Institute, Erie, PA

dental hygiene from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Dental Laboratory Technology

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Dental Laboratory Technology Educational Programs: In accordance with Commission policy the following individuals recused themselves during the review of an educational program: Mr. Charles McClemens, J. Sargeant Reynolds and Ms. Renata Budny, Pasadena City College and Atlanta Technical College.

The Review Committee on Dental Laboratory Technology Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Teach-Out Report

J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College, Richmond, VA

dental laboratory technology from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements
(Teach-Out through May 2018)

Report of Program Change

Pasadena City College, Pasadena, CA

dental laboratory technology from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Discontinued Program

Atlanta Technical College, Atlanta, GA

dental laboratory technology from: approval without reporting requirements
to: discontinue

Report of Non-Compliance

J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College, Richmond, VA

dental laboratory technology from: approval without reporting requirements
(Teach-Out through May 2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)
(Teach-Out through May 2018)

Dental Public Health

Endodontics

Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology

Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Advanced Specialty Education Programs in Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology:

In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, no individuals recused themselves during the review of an education program.

The Review Committee on Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Report

Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY

**oral and maxillofacial
radiology**

from: new program
to: initial accreditation
(Pre-Graduation Site Visit: 8/2019)

Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Educational Programs: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individuals were recused from the review of an educational program: Dr. Gregory Ness, Allegheny General Hospital, San Antonio Military Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Residency, and US Army Dental Activity/Ft. Bragg; Dr. Vincent Perciaccante, Dwight David Eisenhower Army Medical Center/US Army Dental Activity/Ft Gordon, Lincoln Medical and Mental Health Center, Mount Sinai Medical Center-New York, University of Cincinnati, and University of Washington; Dr. David Stanton, Allegheny General Hospital, Drexel University, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, University of Oklahoma Health Science Center, and University of Pittsburgh; and Ms. Cindy Stergar, Cook County Hospital/John H. Stroger, Jr.

The Review Committee on Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Progress Reports

Cook County Hospital/John H. Stroger, Jr., Chicago, IL

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency from: approval with reporting requirements (2) (2/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2) (2/2018)

Harlem Hospital Center, New York, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency from: approval with reporting requirements (1) (8/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (1) (8/2018)

Report of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs

Mount Sinai Medical Center-New York, New York, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency from: approval without reporting requirements (3)
to: approval without reporting requirements (3)

Reports of Noncompliance

Northwell Health, New Hyde Park, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency from: approval without reporting requirements (3)
to: approval with reporting requirements (3) (2/2019)

Harlem Hospital Center, New York, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery residency from: approval with reporting requirements (1) (8/2018)
to: approval with reporting requirements (1) (8/2018)

Reports of Noncompliance (cont.)

New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (2)
residency to: approval with reporting requirements (2) (2/2019)

Meharry Medical College, Nashville, TN

oral and maxillofacial surgery from: approval without reporting requirements (1)
residency to: approval with reporting requirements (1) (2/2019)

Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Orthodontics Educational Programs: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individuals were recused from the review of an educational program: Dr. G. Frans Currier, University of Pennsylvania; Dr. James Hartsfield, Nova Southeastern University, University of Illinois at Chicago, and University of Louisville; Dr. H. Garland Hershey, Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical Center-59th Medical Wing (WHASC); and Dr. Wendy Woodall, Nova Southeastern University, Oregon Health and Science University, and University of Louisville.

The Review Committee on Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Report

Howard University, Washington, DC

orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics	from:	approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
	to:	approval <u>with</u> reporting requirements (2/2019)

Report of Program Change

NYU Hospitals Center, New York, NY

orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics	from:	initial accreditation (Pre-Graduation Site Visit: 8/2017)
	to:	initial accreditation (Pre-Graduation Site Visit: 8/2017)

Request for Authorized Enrollment Increase

University of Rochester, Rochester, NY

orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics	from:	approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements
	to:	approval <u>without</u> reporting requirements

Report of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs

Meadowlands Hospital and Medical Center, Secaucus, NJ

orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics	from:	initial accreditation (Pre-Graduation Site Visit: 8/2019)
	to:	initial accreditation (Pre-Graduation Site Visit: 8/2019)

Pediatric Dentistry

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Advanced Specialty Education Programs in Pediatric Dentistry: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individuals recused themselves during the review of an education program: Dr. Martin Donaldson, Saint Louis University Health Science Center, Dr. Catherine Flaitz, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston and Ohio State University, Mr. Gerald Phipps, University of Illinois at Chicago, and Dr. Janice Townsend, Ohio State University, East Carolina University, and Brookdale Hospital.

The Review Committee on Pediatric Dentistry Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Reports

Howard University, Washington, DC

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Saint Louis University Health Science Center, St. Louis, MO

pediatric dentistry from: new program
to: initial accreditation
(Pre-Graduation Site Visit: 8/2019)

MetroHealth Medical Center, Cleveland, OH

pediatric dentistry from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2018)
(Special Focused Site Visit: 2/2017)
to: approval with reporting requirements;
intent to withdraw (8/2017)

Progress Report

MetroHealth Medical Center, Cleveland, OH

pediatric dentistry from: approval with reporting requirements
intent to withdraw (8/2017)
to: approval with reporting requirements;
intent to withdraw (8/2017)

Requests for Authorized Enrollment Increase

Interfaith Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Maimonides Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Case Western University, Cleveland, OH

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval without reporting requirements

Reports of Sites Where Educational Activity Occurs

NYU Hospitals Center, New York, NY

pediatric dentistry from: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Report of Noncompliance

Brookdale Hospital, Brooklyn, NY

pediatric dentistry from: approval without reporting requirements
to: approval with reporting requirements (2/2019)

Periodontics

Prosthodontics

REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Accreditation of Advanced Specialty Education Programs in Prosthodontics: In accordance with the Commission's policy on conflict of interest, the following individuals recused themselves during the review of an education program: Dr. Louis DiPede, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center and Louisiana State University and Dr. Hiroshi Hirayama, Columbia University, Tuft's University and Louisiana State University.

The Review Committee on Prosthodontic Education recommends adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Commission on Dental Accreditation approve the accreditation classifications for the following programs as listed:

Site Visit Report

University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

prosthodontics	from: new program
	to: initial accreditation (Pre-Graduation Site Visit: 8/2020)

Report of Noncompliance

Louisiana State University, New Orleans, LA

prosthodontics	from: approval <u>with</u> reporting requirements (8/2018)
	to: approval <u>with</u> reporting requirements (8/2018)

Mail Ballot Reviews (Previously Approved by CODA)

MAIL BALLOT REPORT ON ACCREDITATION STATUS OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Report of Program Change

Carrington College, Boise, ID

dental assisting

from: approval without reporting requirements
(Special Focused Site Visit: 2/2017)
to: approval without reporting requirements

Discontinued Programs

Missouri College, Brentwood, MO

dental hygiene

from: approval without reporting requirements
to: discontinue, effective November 2, 2016

Missouri College, Brentwood, MO

dental assisting

from: approval with reporting requirements
intent to withdraw (2/2017)
to: discontinue, effective November 2, 2016

The College of Health Care Professions, Houston, TX

dental hygiene

from: initial accreditation
to: discontinue, effective March 25, 2016