Page 1400 Annual Survey Curriculum Data Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

INFORMATIONAL REPORT ON PROSTHODONTICS PROGRAMS ANNUAL SURVEY CURRICULUM DATA

Background: At its Winter 2015 meeting, the Commission directed that each Review Committee review a draft of its discipline-specific Annual Survey Curriculum Section during the Winter meeting in the year the Survey will be distributed. The Commission further suggested that each Review Committee review aggregate data of its discipline-specific Annual Survey Curriculum Section, as an informational report, when the materials are available following data collection and analysis. The Commission noted that all survey data is considered confidential at the programmatic level.

The Curriculum Section of the Commission's Annual Survey is conducted for prosthodontics programs, including maxillofacial prosthetics, in alternate years. The most recent Curriculum Section was conducted in August/September 2024. Aggregate data of the most recent Curriculum Section for review by the Prosthodontics Review Committee as an informational report is provided in **Appendix 1** for prosthodontics and **Appendix 2** for maxillofacial prosthetics.

<u>Summary</u>: The Review Committee on Prosthodontics Education is requested to review the informational report on aggregate data of its discipline-specific Annual Survey Curriculum Section (**Appendix 1 and 2**).

Recommendation: This report is informational in nature and no action is requested.

Prepared by: Ms. Taylor Weast

Page 1400 Appendix 1 Subpage 1 Annual Survey Curriculum Data Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

2024-25 Prosthodontics Curriculum Survey Results

This report includes data collected in the 2024-25 *Survey of Advanced Dental Education* from 46 accredited prosthodontics, eight maxillofacial prosthetics, and one accredited combined prosthodontics-maxillofacial prosthetics programs accredited at the time of the survey. One prosthodontics program did not have residents enrolled during the curriculum survey period; data from this program is not included in this report.

21. What percentage of time do students/residents devote to each of the following areas during the entire program?

Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Count
a. Clinical (include related laboratory activity)	50.0	72.0	61.5	47
b. Didactic (include assigned laboratory activity)	20.0	40.0	26.5	47
c. Research	0.0	10.0	6.1	47
d. Teaching	0.0	10.0	5.2	47
e. Other, please specify	0.0	12.0	0.7	47

e. Other, please specify - Text

Attend conferences.	
Chart review and Mock board examination (Written, Scenario, Oral Case Presentation)	
Lab and digital activities	
Laboratory (2)	
Laboratory Activities	
laboratory	

other scholarly ativities including poster presentation, continuing education

22. How many documented evaluations of student/resident performances are conducted annually by the teaching staff?

Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Count
	2	80	6.1	47

Comments from Prosthodontics Curriculum Survey Section page 1

Page 1400 Appendix 1 Subpage 2 Annual Survey Curriculum Data Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

22. Quarterly evaluations are made by staff for each resident. Each evaluation summarizes the results from assessments regarding lectures, presentations, and patient treatment. All staff members perform these assessments.

Residents receive two written evaluations and four oral evaluations (chart review) that are documented. They also receive a written evaluation of their performance on the 2 per year mock board exams.

axiUm evaluations (formative & summative), New Innovations evaluations completed semi-annually; Pros Treatment Planning Seminar, Perio-Pros Treatment Planning Seminar, Prosthodontic Care Outcomes Assessment, and Prosthodontic Mock Board Examination evaluations

- 23. How many prosthodontic procedures were completed by all students/residents in each of the following areas during the 2023-24 academic year?
- a. Fixed prosthodontics (no implants):

Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Count
1. Single fixed restoration (all types)	32	1,499	286.6	47
2. Total number of fixed partial denture retainers (retainers only)	2	163	51.0	47
3. Complete arch restorations (List only the number of arches)	0	1,220	39.4	47

b. Removable prosthodontics (no implants):

Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Count
1. Complete denture, both arches	4	420	46.1	47
2. Single complete denture	3	133	30.0	47
3. Removable partial denture	3	108	29.5	47

b4. Please indicate the number of prostheses listed in lines b1 (Complete dentures, both arches) and b2 (Single complete dentures) that involved tooth-supported overdentures.

Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Count
	0	14	2.0	47

c. Implant supported restorations:

Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Count
1. Removable complete denture, both arches	0	34	7.3	47
2. Removable single complete denture	0	121	13.2	47
3. Removable partial denture	0	23	3.6	47
4. Single fixed restoration (all types)	13	520	113.5	47
5. Total number of fixed partial denture retainers (retainers only)	2	250	51.7	47
Complete arch fixed restorations (List only the number of arches):Partially edentulous arch (tooth and/or implants)	0	75	10.8	47
7. Complete arch fixed restorations (List only the number of arches): Completely edentulous arch (implants only)	0	57	16.8	47

23. How many prosthodontic procedures were completed by all students/residents in each of the following areas during the 2023-24 academic year? (continued)

d. Implant placement:

Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Count
1. Implants placed to support removable prostheses	0	70	13.3	47
2. Implants placed for single tooth restorations	0	600	51.5	47
3. Implants placed for fixed complete prostheses	0	278	25.1	47
4. Implants placed for fixed partial prostheses	0	171	18.5	47
5. Site augmentation/preservation (all types) as part of implant placement		400	32.8	47
6. Immediate implant placement	0	190	11.8	47
7. Total number of CBCT studies	0	427	103.9	47

e. Total number of maxillofacial prostheses (all types) completed by all students/residents.

Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Count
	0	92	9.1	47

Page 1400 Appendix 1 Subpage 4 Annual Survey Curriculum Data Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

Comments from Prosthodontics Curriculum Survey Section page 2

CBCT are completed by Radiology Department. We place the order and they completed.

Please note that 23d only listed the numbers of implants placed by AEP residents. Every case involving an implant has a CBCT study.

Program is not a hospital based program and maxillofacial patients are rare to be admitted. Residents do experience maxillofacial consultation and proper diagnosis and referral when cases arise. Implant placement by Prosthodontics residents are noted for b-4 d section, other implant placements are referred to surgical allied programs.

d.1: 20 procedures involving 46 implants d.3: 7 procedures involving 32 implants d.4: 9 procedures involving 17 implants 190 implants placed among the 10 residents during the 2023-2024 academic year

24. How many patients were managed by all students/residents during the 2023-24 academic year?

Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Count
	80	4,069	782.0	47

25. For each of the following subject areas, identify the manner in which students/residents receive instruction.

Question	Formal courses	Lectures/ Seminars	Rotations	e- Learning	None	Total
 a. Diagnosis of diseases affecting prosthodontic treatment including caries risk assessment and intervention 	74.5%	97.9%	6.4%	12.8%	0.0%	47
b. Fixed prosthodontics	89.4%	83.0%	6.4%	10.6%	0.0%	47
c. Removable prosthodontics	93.6%	83.0%	6.4%	8.5%	0.0%	47
d. Implants and implant therapy	95.7%	87.2%	8.5%	12.8%	0.0%	47
e. Occlusion	89.4%	83.0%	6.4%	8.5%	0.0%	47
f. Esthetics	57.4%	91.5%	4.3%	10.6%	0.0%	47
g. Biomaterials	91.5%	74.5%	4.3%	10.6%	0.0%	47
h. Digital technology	61.7%	97.9%	4.3%	21.3%	0.0%	47
i. Wound healing	57.4%	95.7%	4.3%	8.5%	0.0%	47
j. Surgical principles	68.1%	89.4%	8.5%	14.9%	0.0%	47
k. Infection control	48.9%	89.4%	2.1%	21.3%	0.0%	47
I. Craniofacial anatomy and physiology	83.0%	68.1%	6.4%	8.5%	0.0%	47
m. Diagnostic imaging	66.0%	83.0%	6.4%	10.6%	0.0%	47

Page 1400 Appendix 1 Subpage 5 Annual Survey Curriculum Data Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

Question	Formal courses	Lectures/ Seminars	Rotations	e- Learning	None	Total
n. Prosthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning	74.5%	87.2%	6.4%	10.6%	0.0%	47
o. Oral pathology	91.5%	63.8%	2.1%	6.4%	0.0%	47
p. Applied pharmacology	78.7%	61.7%	4.3%	8.5%	0.0%	47
q. Oral microbiology	74.5%	68.1%	2.1%	4.3%	0.0%	47
r. Temporomandibular disorders and orofacial pain	80.9%	80.9%	10.6%	4.3%	0.0%	47
s. Evidence-based decision-making	40.4%	93.6%	4.3%	4.3%	0.0%	47
t. Ethics and professionalism	51.1%	85.1%	4.3%	10.6%	0.0%	47
u. Pre-prosthetic surgery	27.7%	93.6%	12.8%	4.3%	0.0%	47
v. Geriatrics	38.3%	80.9%	4.3%	8.5%	2.1%	47
w. Maxillofacial prosthetics	78.7%	76.6%	14.9%	4.3%	0.0%	47
x. Medical emergencies	51.1%	80.9%	4.3%	8.5%	0.0%	47
y. Research methodology	85.1%	66.0%	4.3%	8.5%	2.1%	47
z. Pain control and sedation	68.1%	70.2%	2.1%	8.5%	0.0%	47

Comments from Prosthodontics Curriculum Survey Section page 3

Number of patients in answer to Question 24, 4069, reflects total patients seen in the program including patients that received screenings or exams and are waiting to start treatment.

Q24 : This includes all patients that their treatments were either completed or in process that were managed by all residents. Q 25 W: Maxillofacial rotation at the **REDACTED** Clinic has been put in place in April 2024 where residents can observe/assist maxillofacial patient care.

25 (continued). For each of the following subject areas, identify the manner in which students/residents receive instruction.

aa. Diagnostic and treatment planning aspects of:

Question	Formal courses	Lectures/ Seminars	Rotations	e- Learning	None	Total
1. Endodontics	17.0%	100.0%	2.1%	6.4%	0.0%	47
2. Orthodontics	14.9%	100.0%	4.3%	6.4%	0.0%	47
Oral and maxillofacial radiology	40.4%	87.2%	2.1%	4.3%	0.0%	47
4. Oral and maxillofacial surgery	17.0%	91.5%	6.4%	4.3%	0.0%	47
5. Periodontics	27.7%	97.9%	2.1%	6.4%	0.0%	47

Question	Formal courses	Lectures/ Seminars	Rotations	e- Learning	None	Total
bb. Craniofacial growth and development	48.9%	70.2%	8.5%	8.5%	0.0%	47
cc. Biostatistics	76.6%	57.4%	2.1%	8.5%	0.0%	47
dd. Intraoral photography	36.2%	87.2%	8.5%	4.3%	0.0%	47
ee. Practice management	42.6%	89.4%	6.4%	6.4%	0.0%	47
ff. Scientific writing	51.1%	72.3%	2.1%	8.5%	0.0%	47
gg. Sleep disorders	27.7%	91.5%	6.4%	8.5%	0.0%	47
hh. Teaching methodology/Public speaking	29.8%	87.2%	4.3%	4.3%	2.1%	47
ii. Behavioral science	19.1%	83.0%	4.3%	4.3%	8.5%	47

Comments from Prosthodontics Curriculum Survey Section page 4

Rotations through the TMD and Sleep Medicine clinics have been added.

Page 1400 Appendix 2 Subpage 1 Annual Survey Curriculum Data Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

2024-25 Prosthodontics Curriculum Survey Results

This report includes data collected in the 2024-25 *Survey of Advanced Dental Education* from 46 accredited prosthodontics, eight maxillofacial prosthetics, and one accredited combined prosthodontics-maxillofacial prosthetics programs accredited at the time of the survey. One prosthodontics program did not have residents enrolled during the curriculum survey period; data from this program is not included in this report.

26. How many maxillofacial prosthetics patients were managed by all students/residents in each of the following categories?

Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Count
a. Treated for craniomandibular disorders	1	200	27.6	9
b. Treated for acquired developmental or congenital defects	1	15	6.4	9
c. Treated for defects as a result of trauma or disease	5	385	71.1	9
d. Undergone radiation therapy to the head and neck region	10	653	130.1	9
e. Maxillary defects of the hard palate, soft palate and/or alveolus	5	185	40.3	9
f. Mandibular continuity and discontinuity defects	4	174	35.1	9
g. Undergoing radio- and/or chemotherapy for oncologic treatment	2	999	167.7	9

27. How many maxillofacial prosthetics patients were treated in each of the following categories during the 2023-24 academic year?

Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Count
a. Immediate surgical prostheses for patients undergoing maxillectomy	3	40	13.7	9
 Interim prosthesis to support the post-surgical rehabilitation of maxillectomy patients 	5	55	17.9	9
c. Definitive prosthesis to restore maxillary defect	2	138	26.3	9
d. Interim prosthesis to support the post-surgical rehabilitation of velopharyngeal resection	0	35	7.7	9
e. Definitive prosthesis/appliance to restore/manage velopharyngeal insufficiency/incompetency	0	40	8.7	9
f. Palatal speech/swallowing aid	1	56	10.6	9
g. Autogenous or alloplastic implant or graft for direct prosthesis support	0	38	14.3	9
h. Managed for craniofacial disorders to include temporomandibular joint dysfunction	0	10	3.9	9
i. Other supportive/adjunctive device, appliance, or prosthesis to aid in surgical reconstruction or delivery of radiation therapy	0	358	53.4	9
j. Naso-alvelolar molding appliance	0	7	1.8	9
k. Definitive facial prostheses including nasal, auricular, ocular, orbital or composite	4	95	21.2	9

Page 1400 Appendix 2 Subpage 2 Annual Survey Curriculum Data Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

I. Prostheses which include a definitive-type obturator for palatal- pharyngeal incompetence	1	28	11.8	9
m. Palatal stimulators, interim obturators, and adjunctive prostheses for surgical reconstruction	0	65	20.1	9

Comments from Maxillofacial Prosthetics Curriculum Survey Section page 1

There is redundancy, and overlap in this list. for example b. and m., and c. and l., and i. and m. Why not list them as CPT codes.

28. Are maxillofacial prosthetic students/residents provided the opportunity to gain experience in the management of dental patients in the hospital operating room?

	Percentage
Yes	100.0%
No	0.0%
Total	9

Page 1400 Appendix 2 Subpage 3 Annual Survey Curriculum Data Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

29. For each of the following subject areas, identify the manner in which students/residents receive instruction.

Formal Courses	Yes	No	Total
a. Speech pathology and therapy	11.1%	88.9%	9
b. Oncology	11.1%	88.9%	9
c. Radiation oncology	0.0%	100.0%	9
d. Principles of head and neck surgery	11.1%	88.9%	9
e. Hospital protocol	22.2%	77.8%	9
f. Management techniques of medically compromised patients	11.1%	88.9%	9

Lectures/Seminars	Yes	No	Total
a. Speech pathology and therapy	100.0%	0.0%	9
b. Oncology	100.0%	0.0%	9
c. Radiation oncology	88.9%	11.1%	9
d. Principles of head and neck surgery	88.9%	11.1%	9
e. Hospital protocol	88.9%	11.1%	9
f. Management techniques of medically compromised patients	100.0%	0.0%	9

Rotations	Yes	No	Total
a. Speech pathology and therapy	66.7%	33.3%	9
b. Oncology	66.7%	33.3%	9
c. Radiation oncology	77.8%	22.2%	9
d. Principles of head and neck surgery	66.7%	33.3%	9
e. Hospital protocol	55.6%	44.4%	9
f. Management techniques of medically compromised patients	55.6%	44.4%	9

Comments from Maxillofacial Prosthetics Curriculum Survey Section page 2

Oncology rotation and principles of head neck surgery accomplished with casework alongside Head Neck oncology fellowship trained ENT and OMFS faculty.

Page 1401 Subpage 1 Administrative Oversight at Major Sites Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

CONSIDERATION OF ACCREDITATION STANDARDS FOR ADVANCED DENTAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS IN PROSTHODONTICS RELATED TO ADMINISTRATIVE OVERSIGHT AT MAJOR SITES WHERE EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITY OCCURS

Background: At its Winter 2024 meeting, the Commission considered the New Business report of the Review Committee on Predoctoral Dental Education (PREDOC RC), which included a discussion about the possibility of program directors working remotely and not in-person, on-site at one of the program's approved educational sites. The PREDOC RC recognized the Commission does not have a defined policy or requirement in some discipline-specific Accreditation Standards that stipulates the program director must be in-person, on-site to fulfill the duties as written in the Accreditation Standards. The PREDOC RC believed that CODA should clearly define this expectation for future interpretation of program director qualifications in accordance with the discipline-specific Accreditation Standards. Through a discussion, the PREDOC RC recognized that new technologies and an increasing remote workforce may allow program directors to complete some job tasks remotely. However, tasks such as supervision of faculty and some day-to-day job responsibilities would require the program director to be inperson, on-site at the program's approved educational sites. Additionally, for programs that have multiple approved educational sites that may be geographically separated from the sponsoring institution, including those throughout an individual state or located in different states, it is not clearly defined how much time the program director should spend at each site for supervision over the day-to-day operations, as listed in the discipline-specific Accreditation Standards, or the requirement to delegate site supervision responsibilities. The PREDOC RC believed CODA may need to investigate and review the in-person, on-site work expectations for program directors to determine if changes are needed to the Accreditation Standards for dental education, advanced dental education, and allied dental education programs. Following consideration, the Commission directed an Ad Hoc or Standing Committee to investigate in-person, on-site work expectations for program directors to determine if changes are needed in the discipline-specific Accreditation Standards for dental education, advanced dental education, and allied dental education programs.

Additionally, at its Winter 2024 meeting, the Commission considered the New Business report of the Review Committee on Dental Hygiene Education (DH RC) related to program administrators that may be remotely located from the program's campus. The DH RC considered whether there should be oversight of remote program sites by an on-site individual who reports to the program director. The DH RC noted that some advanced dental education Standards require an on-site supervisor at remote program locations. The Commission noted that the Dental Hygiene Review Committee would monitor trends in remote program locations for dental hygiene education.

Following consideration, at its Winter 2024 meeting, the Commission on Dental Accreditation (CODA) directed an Ad Hoc or Standing Committee to investigate in-person, on-site work expectations for program directors to determine if changes are needed in the discipline-specific Accreditation Standards for dental education, advanced dental education, and allied dental education programs.

Page 1401 Subpage 2 Administrative Oversight at Major Sites Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

Summer 2024: The Ad Hoc Committee, which was comprised of all current CODA Commissioners, met on August 7, 2024 at the ADA Headquarters, in association with the Commission's Summer 2024 meeting. The Ad Hoc Committee reviewed the background materials, which included the Commission's action leading to the Ad Hoc Committee, and the Standards for each discipline related to program director (Appendix 1). The Ad Hoc Committee noted that the Advanced Education in General Dentistry, General Practice Residency Standards, and Pediatric Dentistry Standards include a requirement for a site director/site administrator at all off-campus clinical locations. The Committee discussed the changing environment in dental and dental hygiene education, noting increased establishment of off-campus sites where students spend a majority or all their time, much like a satellite campus. It was noted that while all CODA Standards have a requirement for clinical supervision at all educational activity sites, it was noted that most Standards do not address overall administrative oversight of the program, by the program director or a designee, at all sites where a student spends a majority or all their time. The Committee discussed whether virtual oversight or assignment of a responsible individual would be appropriate at all educational sites. The Committee believed there must be consistency in the educational program at all program sites.

Following consideration, the Ad Hoc Committee concluded that each Review Committee that does not currently have a Standard related to administrative oversight at major educational activity sites (e.g., off-campus sites where students spend a majority or all their time) should review this topic and determine whether a Standard is needed to address the Commission's expectation for administrative oversight, for consideration by the Commission in Winter 2025. In considering this matter, the Commission noted that inclusion of Intent Statements, in conjunction with proposed Standards, could further clarify the flexibility permitted for programs to oversee educational sites in a variety of ways, while ensuring administrative oversight and consistency in the educational program across all sites. At its Summer 2024 meeting, the Commission on Dental Accreditation concurred with the recommendations of the Ad Hoc Committee.

Summary: The Review Committee on Prosthodontics Education is requested to review the Prosthodontics Accreditation Standards (Appendix 1) related to administrative oversight at major educational activity sites (e.g., off-campus sites where students spend a majority or all their time) and determine whether a Standard is needed to address the Commission's expectation for administrative oversight. The Review Committee may determine that Standards already exist, which address overall administrative oversight of the program, by the program director or a designee, at all sites where a student/resident/fellow spends a majority or all their time. Alternately, the Review Committee may determine that Standards require modification or addition, and may propose changes to the Commission for further consideration including possible circulation to the communities of interest for a period of comment.

Recommendation:

Prepared by: Dr. Sherin Tooks

Page 1401 Appendix 1 Subpage 1 Administrative Oversight at Major Sites Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

COMMISSION ON DENTAL ACCREDITATION STANDARDS RELATED TO PROGRAM DIRECTOR REQUIREMENTS

Current Standards are in Black Font New Adopted Standards are in Red Font Proposed Standards are in Green Font

Discipline	Standard Number	Requirement of the Standard
Predoctoral Dental		
	N/A	
Dental Assisting		
	Standard 2-25	The dental assisting faculty must plan, approve, supervise, and evaluate the student's clinical experience, and the following conditions must be met:
		a. A formal agreement exists between the educational institution and the facility providing the experience b. The program administrator retains authority and responsibility for the student
		c. Policies and procedures for operation of the facility are consistent with the philosophy and objectives of the dental assisting program. d. The facility accommodates the scheduling needs of the
		program e. Notification for termination of the agreement ensures that instruction will not be interrupted for currently assigned students
		f. Expectations and orientation are provided to all parties prior to student assignment
	Standard 3-1	The program must be a recognized entity within the institution's administrative structure which supports the attainment of program goals.
		Intent: The position of the program in the institutions administrative structure should permit direct communication between the program administrator and institutional administrators who are responsible for decisions that directly affect the program The administration of the program should include formal provisions for program planning, staffing, management, coordination and evaluation.
	Standard 3-2	The program administrator must have a full-time commitment to the institution and an appointment which provides time for program operation, evaluation and revision.

	The program administrator must have the authority and responsibilities for:
	a. Budget preparation b. Fiscal administration c. Curriculum development and coordination d. Selection and recommendation of individuals for faculty appointment and promotion e. Supervision and evaluation of faculty f. Determining faculty teaching assignments and schedules g. Determining admissions criteria and procedures h. Scheduling use of program facilities i. Development and responsibilities to maintain CODA accreditation compliance and documentation
	Intent: The program administrator's teaching contact hours and course responsibilities are less than a full-time instructor who does not have administrative responsibilities or as defined by the collective bargaining agreement of the institution or state teachers association. The program administrator's teaching contact hours and course responsibilities allow sufficient time to fulfill assigned administrative responsibilities.
Standard 3-3	The program administrator must be a Dental Assisting National Board "Certified Dental Assistant" or dentist licensed to practice in the state of the program location*, with occupational experience in the application of fourhanded dentistry principles, either as a dental assistant or working with a chairside assistant.
Standard 3-4	The program administrator must have a baccalaureate degree or higher. The program administrator must have had instruction in educational theory and methodology, e.g., curriculum development, educational psychology, test construction, measurement and evaluation.
Standard 3-10	Faculty must be ensured a form of governance that allows participation in the program and institution's decision-making process.
	Intent: There are opportunities for program faculty representation on institution-wide committees and the program administrator is consulted when matters directly related to the program are considered by committees that do not include program faculty.
Standard 3-11	A defined evaluation process must exist that ensures objective measurement of the performance of each faculty member.
	Intent:

	Standard 4-10	An objective evaluation system including student, administration and peer evaluation can identify strengths and weaknesses for each faculty member (to include those at distance sites) including the program administrator. The results of evaluations should be communicated to faculty members on a regular basis to ensure continued improvement. It is preferable and, therefore recommended, that the educational institution provide physical facilities and
		equipment which are adequate to permit achievement of the program's objectives. If the institution finds it necessary to contract for use of an existing facility for laboratory, preclinical and/or clinical education, then the following conditions must be met in addition to all existing standards.
		 a. There is a formal agreement between the educational institution and agency or institution providing the facility. b. The program administrator retains authority and responsibility for instruction. c. All students receive instruction and practice experience in
		the facility. d. Policies and procedures for operation of the facility are consistent with the philosophy and objectives of the educational program.
		e. Availability of the facility accommodates the scheduling needs of the program. f. Notification for termination of the contract ensures that instruction will not be interrupted for currently enrolled students. g. Instruction is provided and evaluated by calibrated dental
		assisting program faculty.
		Intent: This standard applies to sites off-campus used for laboratory, preclinical and/or clinical education. All students assigned to a particular facility are expected to receive instruction in that facility. This standard is not applicable to dental offices/clinic sites used for clinical/externship practice experience.
Dental Hygiene		
	Standard 3-2	The dental hygiene program administrator must have a full-time appointment as defined by the institution, whose primary responsibility is for operation, supervision, evaluation and revision of the program. Intent: To allow sufficient time to fulfill administrative responsibilities, program administrative hours should represent the majority of hours, and teaching contact hours should be limited.

	Standard 3-4	The program administrator must have the authority and responsibility necessary to fulfill program goals including: a) curriculum development, evaluation and revision; b) faculty recruitment, assignments and supervision; c) input into faculty evaluation; d) initiation of program or department in-service and faculty development; e) assessing, planning and operating program facilities; f) input into budget preparation and fiscal administration; g) coordination, evaluation and participation in determining admission criteria and procedures as well as student promotion and retention criteria.
Dental Laboratory Technology		
	Standard 3-3	A program administrator who is employed full-time (as defined by the institution) and who is responsible for the day-to-day implementation of the program and must have the authority, responsibility and privileges necessary to manage the program.
	Standard 3-4	The program administrator must: a) have the educational background and occupational experience necessary to understand and fulfill the program goals b) have attained a higher level of education than that presented in the program or be enrolled in a program progressing toward that degree c) current background in educational theory and methodology d) have practical experience as a dental technician e) be certified by the National Board for Certification in Dental Laboratory Technology
	Standard 3-5	Duties: The program administrator must have authority and responsibility necessary to fulfill program goals.
Dental Therapy		
	Standard 3-1	The program director must have a full-time administrative appointment as defined by the institution and have primary responsibility for operation, supervision, evaluation and revision of the Dental Therapy educational program. Intent: To allow sufficient time to fulfill administrative responsibilities, teaching contact hours should be limited for the program director and should not take precedent over administrative responsibilities.

	Standard 3-2	The program director must be a licensed dentist (DDS/DMD) or a licensed dental therapist possessing a master's or higher degree. The director must be a graduate of a program accredited by the Commission on Dental Accreditation and who has background in education and the professional experience necessary to understand and fulfill the program's mission and goals. Intent: The program director's background should include administrative experience, instructional experience, and professional experience in general dentistry. The term of interim/acting program director should not exceed a two year period.
	Standard 3-3	The program director must have the authority and responsibility necessary to fulfill program goals including: a) curriculum development, evaluation and revision; b) faculty recruitment, assignments and supervision; c) input into faculty evaluation; d) initiation of program or department in-service and faculty development; e) assessing, planning and operating program facilities; f) input into budget preparation and fiscal administration; g) coordination, evaluation and participation in determining admission criteria and h) procedures as well as student promotion and retention criteria.
Advanced Education in General Dentistry		
	Standard 2-15	The program's resident evaluation system must assure that, through the director and faculty, each program: a) periodically, but at least three times annually, evaluates and documents the resident's progress towards achieving the program's written goals and objectives or competencies for resident training using appropriate written criteria and procedures; b) provides residents with an assessment of their performance after each evaluation. Where deficiencies are noted, corrective actions must be taken; and c) maintains a personal record of evaluation for each resident that is accessible to the resident and available for review during site visits. Intent: While the program may employ evaluation methods that measure a resident's skills or behavior at a given time, it is expected that the program will, in addition, evaluate the degree to which the resident is making progress toward achieving the specific goals and objectives or competencies for resident

		training described in response to Standard 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, and 2-4. The final resident evaluation or final measurement of educational outcomes may count as one of the three evaluations.
	Standard 3-1	The program must be administered by a director who has authority and responsibility for all aspects of the program.
		Intent: The program director's responsibilities include:
		a) program administration;b) development and implementation of the curriculum plan;
		c) ongoing evaluation of program content, faculty teaching and resident performance;
		 d) evaluation of resident training and supervision in affiliated institutions and off-services rotations;
		e) maintenance of records related to the educational program; and
		f) resident selection.
		It is expected that program directors will devote sufficient time to accomplish the assigned duties and responsibilities. In programs where the program director assigns some duties to other individuals, it is expected that the program will develop a formal
		plan for such assignments that includes:
	Standard 3-2	Program directors appointed after January 1, 2008, who have not previously served as an Advanced Education in General Dentistry or General Practice Residency program director, must have completed an accredited Advanced Education in General Dentistry or General Practice Residency program.
	Standard 3-3	For each off-campus site, there must be an on-site clinical supervisor/director who is qualified by education and/or clinical experience in the curriculum areas for which he/she is responsible.
General Practice Residency		
	Standard 2-5	Residents must be assigned to an anesthesia rotation with supervised practical experience in the following:
		 a) preoperative evaluation; b) assessment of the effects of behavioral and pharmacologic techniques; c) venipuncture technique; d) patient monitoring; e) airway management;
		f) understanding of the use of pharmacologic agents;g) recognition and treatment of anesthetic emergencies; and

	h) assessment of patient recovery from anesthesia.
	Intent: Program directors should interact with the anesthesia department to determine the rotation length and methods necessary to meet the requirements of the standard. Generally a minimum of 70 hours is considered
Standard	The program's resident evaluation system must assure that, through the director and faculty, each program: a) periodically, but at least three times annually, evaluates and documents the resident's progress towards achieving the program's written goals and objectives or competencies for resident training using appropriate written criteria and procedures; b) provides residents with an assessment of their performance after each evaluation. Where deficiencies are noted, corrective actions must be taken; and c) maintains a personal record of evaluation for each resident that is accessible to the resident and available for review during site visits. Intent: While the program may employ evaluation methods that measure a resident's skills or behavior at a given time, it is expected that the program will, in addition, evaluate the degree to which the resident is making progress toward achieving the specific goals and objectives or competencies for resident training described in response to Standard 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, and 2-4. The final resident evaluation or final measurement of educational outcomes may count as one of the three evaluations.
Standard	The program must be administered by a director who has authority and responsibility for all aspects of the program. Intent: The program director's responsibilities include:
	 a) program administration; b) development and implementation of the curriculum plan; c) ongoing evaluation of program content, faculty teaching and resident performance; d) evaluation of resident training and supervision in affiliated institutions and off-services rotations; e) maintenance of records related to the educational program; and f) resident selection.
	It is expected that program directors will devote sufficient time to accomplish the assigned duties and responsibilities. In programs where the program director assigns some duties to other individuals, it is expected

	Standard 3-2	that the program will develop a formal plan for such assignments that includes: 1) what duties are assigned, 2) to whom they are assigned, and 3) what systems of communication are in place between the program director and individuals who have been assigned responsibilities. In those programs where applicants are assigned centrally, responsibility for selection of residents may be delegated to a designee. Program directors appointed after January 1, 2008, who have not previously served as an Advanced Education in General Dentistry or General Practice Residency program director, must have completed an accredited Advanced Education in General
	Standard 3-3	Dentistry or General Practice Residency program. For each off-campus site, there must be an on-site clinical supervisor/director who is qualified by education and/or clinical experience in the curriculum areas for which he/she is responsible.
Dental Anesthesiology		
	Standard 2-10	Residents must participate in at least four (4) months of clinical rotations from the following list. If more than one rotation is selected, each must be at least one month in length. a) Cardiology, b) Emergency medicine, c) General/internal medicine, d) Intensive care, e) Pain medicine, f) Pediatrics, g) Pre-anesthetic assessment clinic (max. one [1] month), and h) Pulmonary medicine. Intent: The dental anesthesia resident should have a strong foundation in clinical medicine that can be achieved through rotations in the above-mentioned areas. When the resident entering the program has minimal clinical medicine experience, the program director should attempt to increase the time in these rotations beyond the minimum number of months required. The goal is to give the resident experience in medical evaluation and long-term management of patients. Therefore, only one month of the four months of this requirement may be met in the preanesthetic assessment clinic, although longer periods of time may be arranged as desired.
	Standard 2-19	The program's resident evaluation system must assure that, through the director and faculty, each program:

	 a) Periodically, but at least twice annually, evaluates and documents the resident's progress towards achieving the program's written competency requirements and minimum anesthesia case requirements using appropriate written criteria and procedures; b) Provides residents with an assessment of their performance after each evaluation; where deficiencies are noted, corrective actions must be taken; and c) Maintains a personal record of evaluation for each resident which is accessible to the resident and available for review during site visits. Intent: While the program may employ evaluation methods that measure a resident's skills or behavior at a given time, it is expected that the program will, in addition, evaluate the degree to which the resident is making progress toward achieving the specific competency and anesthesia case requirements described
Standard 3-1	in response to Standards 2-1, 2-2, and 2-6. The program must be administered by a director with at least a forty percent (40%) appointment in the sponsoring or cosponsoring institution and have authority and responsibility for all aspects of the program.
	 Intent: The program director's responsibilities include: program administration; development and implementation of the curriculum plan; ongoing evaluation of program content, faculty teaching and resident performance; evaluation of resident training and supervision in affiliated institutions and off-services rotations; maintenance of records related to the educational program; and Resident selection.
	It is expected that program directors will devote sufficient time to accomplish the assigned duties and responsibilities. In programs where the program director assigns some duties to other individuals, it is expected that the program will develop a formal plan for such assignments that includes: 1. what duties are assigned; 2. to whom they are assigned; and 3. what systems of communication are in place between the program director and individuals who have been assigned responsibilities.
	In those programs where applicants are assigned centrally, responsibility for selection of residents may be delegated to a designee.

Dental Public	Standard 3-2	The program director must be board certified in dental anesthesiology. Program directors appointed after January 1, 2020, who have not previously served as program directors, must be board certified in dental anesthesiology. The program director must have completed a CODA-accredited 36-month anesthesiology residency for dentists consistent with or equivalent to the training program described in Standard 2 of these Accreditation Standards. A two-year anesthesiology residency for dentists completed prior to July 1, 2018 is acceptable. A one-year anesthesiology residency for dentists completed prior to July 1993 is acceptable. Intent: The anesthesiology residency is intended to be a continuous, structured residency program devoted exclusively to anesthesiology.
Health		
	Standard 1	The position of the program in the administrative structure must be consistent with that of other parallel programs within the institution and the program director must have the authority, responsibility, and privileges necessary to manage the program.
	Standard 1-3	For each site where educational activity occurs, there must be an appropriate on-site supervisor who is qualified by education in the curriculum areas for which he/she is responsible.
	Standard 2	The program must be administered by one director who is board certified in the respective advanced dental education discipline of the program. (All program directors appointed after January 1, 1997, who have not previously served as program directors, must be board certified.)
		Intent: The director of an advanced dental education program is to be certified by a nationally accepted certifying board in the advanced dental education discipline. Board certification is to be active. The board certification requirement of Standard 2 is also applicable to an interim/acting program director. A program with a director who is not board certified but who has previous experience as an interim/acting program director in a Commission-accredited program prior to 1997 is not considered in compliance with Standard 2.
	Standard 2	The program must be administered by one director who is board certified in dental public health. the respective advanced dental education discipline of the program. (All program directors appointed after January 1, 1997, who have

	not previously served as program directors, must be board certified.) Intent: The director of an advanced dental education program is to be certified by a nationally accepted certifying board in the advanced dental education discipline. Board certification is to be active. The board certification requirement of Standard 2 is also applicable to an interim/acting program director. A program with a director who is not board certified but who has previous experience as an interim/acting program director in a
Standard 2	Commission accredited program prior to 1997 is not considered in compliance with Standard 2. The program director must be appointed to the sponsoring institution and have sufficient authority and time to achieve the educational goals of the program and assess the program's
Standard 2	Documentation of all program activities must be ensured by the program director and available for review.
Standard 2-1	The program must be directed by a single individual who has at least a 40% appointment to the sponsoring institution. Intent: Other activities do not dilute a program director's ability to discharge his/her primary obligations to the educational program.
Standard 2-1 Standard 4	The program must be directed by a single individual who has at least a 40% appointment to the sponsoring institution and a commitment to teaching and supervision that is uncompromised by additional responsibilities. Documentation of all program activities must be ensured by the
Standard 4	program director and available for review. If an institution and/or program enrolls part-time students/residents, the institution/program must have guidelines regarding enrollment of part-time students/residents. Part-time students/residents must start and complete the program within a single institution, except when the program is discontinued. The director of an accredited program who enrolls students/residents on a part-time basis must ensure that: (1) the educational experiences, including the clinical experiences and responsibilities, are the same as required by full-time students/residents; and (2) there are an equivalent number of months spent in the program.
Standard 4-4	Directors of one-year programs must review each student's/resident's previous public health training and supplement it, where necessary, to ensure that instruction identified in Standard 4-2 is covered.

G. 1 14.7	
Standard 4-7	The program must include a supervised field experience at a location determined by the program director which requires the students/residents to gain an understanding of one or more of the competencies listed in Standard 4-5.
	Intent: Supervised field experiences are multi-week or multi-day mentored experiences such as practicums or internships that allow students/residents to enhance their practical understanding in one or more of the competencies listed in Standard 4-5. Supervised field experiences are not meant to include attendance at meetings, conferences, fieldtrips or other didactic sessions.
Standard 4-8	The program must include a supervised research experience for each student/resident, approved by the program director, that demonstrates application of dental public health principles and sound research methodology and is consistent with the competencies listed is Standard 4-5. (Also see Standard 6)
Standard 4-8	The program must include a supervised field experience at a location determined by the program director which requires the students/residents to gain an understanding of one or more of the competencies listed in Standard Standard 4-56. The program must document, with a log of activities, the specific dental public health competency(ies) addressed during each field experience.
	Intent: Supervised <u>multi-day</u> field experiences are multi-week or multi-day mentored experiences such as practicums or internships that- allow students/residents to enhance their practical understanding in one or more of the competencies listed in Standard 4- <u>56</u> . Supervised field experiences are not meant to include attendance at meetings, conferences, fieldtrips or other didactic sessions.
Standard 4-9	The program must include a supervised experience at a location determined by the program director which offers an opportunity for the students/residents to gain knowledge regarding the administration of oral healthcare services (management and delivery of care) of a dental program that provides clinical care to underserved and/or vulnerable population(s).
	a) Students'/Residents' with no prior postdoctoral experience in a public health dental care setting must document evidence of a minimum of 80 hours of supervised participation and documentation of the experience and understanding the challenges to delivering oral health services to the population(s) served.
	b) Students/Residents entering the program with equivalent postdoctoral experience in a public health dental care settings serving vulnerable and underserved populations

Page 1401 Appendix 1 Subpage 13 Administrative Oversight at Major Sites Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

	could be exempt from the 80-hour required rotation based
	on the residency director's evaluation of their experience.
	The student/resident must fulfill this requirement with
	submission of a written, guided personal reflection on the
	challenges delivering oral health care services to
	underserved and vulnerable populations.
	underserved and vamerable populations.
	Intent: To facilitate the development of Dental Public Health
	students'/residents' knowledge in the delivery of oral healthcare
	services to populations, students/residents should deepen their
	understanding of the provision of clinical care in settings that
	focus on underserved and/or vulnerable population(s).
	Experiences are multi-day mentored activities such as practicums
	or internships or personally providing clinical care, that offer the
	opportunity for students/residents to enhance their understanding
	and appreciation of dental care for underserved and/or
	vulnerable population(s) populations. Personally providing
	clinical care is not a requirement of this Standard. Clinical
	facilities may include but are not limited to Community Health
	Centers, hospitals, schools, clinics that care for vulnerable
	populations, such as low-income children, persons living with
	HIV, the homeless, and those with intellectual and/or
	developmental disabilities. Completion of Standard 4-9 does not
	fulfill the requirement for Standard 4-8 (Supervised Field
	Experience).
Standard 4-10	The program must include a supervised research experience for
	each student/resident, approved by the program director, that
	demonstrates application of dental public health principles and
	sound <u>dental public health</u> research methodology, <u>biostatistics and</u>
	epidemiology, and is consistent with the competencies listed in
	Standard 4-56. (Also see Standard 6)
Standard 5 -	A system of ongoing evaluation and advancement must
Evaluation	ensure that, through the director and faculty, each program:
L valuation	onsure that, unrough the director and ractify, each program.
	a. Periodically, but at least semiannually, assesses the
	progress toward (formative assessment) and
	achievement of (summative assessment) the competencies
	for the discipline using formal evaluation methods;
	b. Provides to students/residents an assessment of their
	performance, at least semiannually;
	c. Advances students/residents to positions of
	higher responsibility only on the basis of an
	evaluation of their readiness for advancement;
	and
	d. Maintains a personal record of evaluation for each
	student/resident which is accessible to the
	student/resident and available for review during site
	visits.

	Standard 5	Specific written criteria, policies and procedures must be followed when admitting students/residents.
		Intent: Written non-discriminatory policies are to be followed in selecting students/residents. These policies should make clear the methods and criteria used in recruiting and selecting students/residents and how applicants are informed of their status throughout the selection process. Program directors are encouraged to refer applicants to the Dental Public Health program to the American Board of Dental Public Health for eligibility requirements to obtain Diplomate status.
	Standard 5-2	Applicants for one-year dental public health programs must possess an MPH or comparable degree.
		Intent: For those students/residents admitted with a graduate degree comparable to the MPH, it is expected that the program director document the satisfactory completion of the educational requirements of Standard 4-3. Where deficiencies exist, the student's/resident's program director will create a supplemental curriculum plan to meet those requirements.
Endodontics		
	Standard 1-3	For each site where educational activity occurs, there must be an on-site clinical supervisor who is qualified by education and/or clinical experience in the curriculum areas for which he/she is responsible.
	Standard 2	The program must be administered by one director who is board certified in the respective advanced dental education discipline of the program. (All program directors appointed after January 1, 1997, who have not previously served as program directors, must be board certified.) The program director must be appointed to the sponsoring institution and have sufficient authority and time to achieve the educational goals of the program and assess the program's effectiveness in meeting its goals. Documentation of all program activities must be ensured by
	Standard 2-1	the program director and available for review. The sponsoring institution must appoint a program director
		who: a) is a full-time faculty member and b) whose time commitment is no less than twenty-four hours per week to the advanced dental education program in endodontics.
	Standard 2-1	The sponsoring institution must appoint a program director whose time commitment is no less than twenty-four hours per week to the advanced dental education program in endodontics.
	Standard 2-2	Responsibilities of the program director must include:
		a. Development of mission, goals, and objectives for the program;

		b. Development and implementation of a curriculum plan;
		facilities used in the endodontic
		program;
		d. Student/resident selection unless the
		program is sponsored by a federal
		service utilizing a centralized
		student/resident selection process;
		e. Ensuring ongoing evaluation of
		student/resident performance and
		faculty teaching performance;
		f. Evaluation of teaching program and
		faculty supervision in affiliated
		institutions;
		S .
		the educational program, including
		written instructional objectives and
		course outlines;
		h. Overall continuity and quality of
		patient care as it relates to
		program;
		i. Ongoing planning, evaluation and
		improvement of the quality of the
		program;
		j. Preparation of graduates for
		certification by the American Board
		of Endodontics; and
		k. Ensuring formal (written)
		evaluation of faculty members at
		least annually to assess their
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		performance in the educational
	C ₁ 1 10.5	program.
	Standard 2-5	Program directors and full time faculty must be provided
		time and resources to engage in scholarly pursuits, which
		may include:
		Doublain attention to constitution
		a. Participation in continuing
		education in endodontics;
		b. Participation in regional or national
		endodontic societies;
		c. Participation in research; and
		d. Presentation and publication of
		scientific/clinical studies.
Oral and		
Maxillofacial		
Pathology		
	Standard 1	The position of the program in the administrative structure must
		be consistent with that of other parallel programs within the

	institution and the program director must have the authority, responsibility, and privileges necessary to manage the program.
Standard 1	The program must be administered by one director who is board certified in the respective advanced dental education discipline of the program. (All program directors appointed after January 1, 1997, who have not previously served as program directors, must be board certified.)
	Intent: The director of an advanced dental education program is to be certified by a nationally recognized certifying board in the advanced dental education discipline. Board certification is to be active. The board certification requirement of Standard 2 is also applicable to an interim/acting program director. A program with a director who is not board certified but who has previous experience as an interim/acting program director in a Commission-accredited program prior to 1997 is not considered in compliance with Standard 2.
Standard 1-4	For each site where educational activity occurs, there must be an on-site clinical supervisor who is qualified by education and/or clinical experience in the curriculum areas for which he/she is responsible.
Standard 2	The program director must be appointed to the sponsoring institution and have sufficient authority and time to achieve the educational goals of the program and assess the program's effectiveness in meeting its goals.
Standard 2	Documentation of all program activities must be ensured by the program director and available for review.
Standard 2-1	The program must be directed by a single individual who has a full-time appointment to the sponsoring institution.
Standard 2-1.1	The program director and faculty of an advanced oral and maxillofacial pathology program must demonstrate a commitment to teaching and supervision that is uncompromised by additional responsibilities.
Standard 4	Documentation of all program activities must be ensured by the program director and available for review.
Standard 4	If an institution and/or program enrolls part-time students/residents, the institution/program must have guidelines regarding enrollment of part-time students/residents. Part-time students/residents must start and complete the program within a single institution, except when the program is discontinued. The director of an accredited program who enrolls students/residents on a part-time basis must ensure that: (1) the educational experiences, including the clinical experiences and responsibilities, are the same as required by full-time students/residents; and (2) there are an equivalent number of months spent in the program.

	Examples of Evidence Standard 4-1 Intent Standard 4-2.2	Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include: Formal courses taken for University credit; and Courses, seminars, conferences, reading assignments, hospital rounds and assignment in the laboratories which are carefully organized; the objectives and content should be carefully planned or reviewed by the program director to avoid deficiencies and unnecessary repetition. Training must include attendance at tumor boards, clinical assessment of patients, selection of appropriate laboratory studies and their interpretation, evaluation of medical and drug status, administration of systemic and local medications, and participation in multi-disciplinary
		Intent: Students/Residents should have the opportunity to manage patients with interesting and unusual diseases. Students/residents should be urged to maintain a log, either photographic and/or written, for cases in which they have had some responsibility. Program directors should periodically evaluate the extent of the students'/residents' clinical experience. Regular conferences and seminars should be scheduled to broaden clinical experience and fill in deficiencies with past clinical teaching cases. A wide variety of clinical situations should also be discussed in regularly scheduled literature reviews or journal clubs.
	Standard 5	A system of ongoing evaluation and advancement must ensure that, through the director and faculty, each program: a. Periodically, but at least semiannually, assesses the progress toward (formative assessment) and achievement of (summative assessment) the competencies for the discipline using formal evaluation methods; b. Provides to students/residents an assessment of their performance, at least semiannually; c. Advances students/residents to positions of higher responsibility only on the basis of an evaluation of their readiness for advancement; and d. Maintains a personal record of evaluation for each student/resident which is accessible to the student/resident and available for review during site visits.
Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology		
	Standard 1	The position of the program in the administrative structure must be consistent with that of other parallel programs within the institution and the program director must have the authority responsibility, and privileges necessary to manage the program.
	Standard 1-2	The program director and faculty must actively assess the

	outcomes of the oral and m axillofacial radiology program in
Standard 1-4	For each site where educational activity occurs, there must be an on-site clinical supervisor who is qualified by education and/or clinical experience in the curriculum areas for which he/she is responsible.
Standard 2	The program must be administered by one director who is board certified in the respective advanced dental education discipline of the program. (All program directors appointed after January 1, 1997, who have not previously served as program directors, must be board certified.)
	Intent: The director of an advanced dental education program is to be certified by a nationally accepted certifying board in the advanced dental education discipline. Board certification is to be active. The board certification requirement of Standard 2 is also applicable to an interim/acting program director. A program with a director who is not board certified but who has previous experience as an interim/acting program director in a Commission-accredited program prior to 1997 is not considered in compliance with Standard 2.
Standard 2	The program director must be appointed to the sponsoring institution and have sufficient authority and time to achieve the educational goals of the program and assess the program's effectiveness in meeting its goals.
Standard 2	Documentation of all program activities must be ensured by the program director and available for review.
Standard 2-1	The oral and maxillofacial radiology program must be directed by one individual who has a full-time appointment to the sponsoring institution.
Standard 2-2	The program director and faculty of an advanced oral and maxillofacial radiology program must demonstrate a commitment to teaching and supervision.
Standard 2-3	The program director and full-time faculty must have adequate time to develop and foster their own professional development.
Standard 4	If an institution and/or program enrolls part-time students/residents, the institution/program must have guidelines regarding enrollment of part-time students/residents. Part-time students/residents must start and complete the program within a single institution, except when the program is discontinued. The director of an accredited program who enrolls students/residents on a part-time basis must ensure that: (1) the educational experiences, including the clinical experiences and responsibilities, are the same as required by full-time students/residents; and (2) there are an equivalent number of months spent in the program.

	Standard 5	A system of ongoing evaluation and advancement must ensure that, through the director and faculty, each program: a. Periodically, but at least semiannually, assesses the progress toward (formative assessment) and achievement of (summative assessment) the competencies for the discipline using formal evaluation methods; b. Provide to students/residents an assessment of their performance, at least semiannually; c. Advances students/residents to positions of
		higher responsibility only on the basis of an evaluation of their readiness for advancement; and d. Maintains a personal record of evaluation for each student/resident which is accessible to the
		student/resident and available for review during site visits.
Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery (Residency)		
	Standard 2	The program must be administered by one director who is board certified in the respective advanced dental education discipline of the program. (All program directors appointed after January 1, 1997, who have not previously served as program directors, must be board certified.) The program director must be appointed to the sponsoring institution and have sufficient authority and time to achieve the educational goals of the program and assess the program's effectiveness in meeting its goals.
		Documentation of all program activities must be ensured by the program director and available for review.
	Standard 2-1	Program Director: The program must be directed by a single responsible individual who is a full time faculty member as defined by the institution.
		The responsibilities of the program director must include:
	Standard 2-1.1	Development of the goals and objectives of the program and definition of a systematic method of assessing these goals by appropriate outcomes measures.
	Standard 2-1.2	Ensuring the provision of adequate physical facilities for the educational process.
	Standard 2-1.3	Participation in selection and supervision of the teaching staff. Perform periodic, at least annual, written evaluations of the teaching staff. This must include documentation of evaluation of the members of the teaching staff by the residents at least annually.

	Standard 2-1.4	Responsibility for adequate educational resource materials
		for education of the residents, including access to an adequate health science library and electronic reference sources.
	Standard 2-1.5	Responsibility for selection of residents and ensuring that all appointed residents meet the minimum eligibility requirements, unless the program is sponsored by a federal service utilizing a centralized resident selection process.
	Standard 2-1.6	Maintenance of appropriate records of the program, including resident and patient statistics, institutional agreements, and resident records.
	Standard 2-1.8	The program director and teaching staff must lead by example in all aspects of professionalism.
Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery (Fellowship)		
	Standard 2	The program must be administered by a director who is board certified.
	Standard 2-1	Program Director: The program must be directed by a single individual. The responsibilities of the program director must include:
	Standard 2-1.1	Development of the goals and objectives of the program and definition of a systematic method of assessing these goals by appropriate outcomes measures.
	Standard 2-1.2	Ensuring the provision of adequate physical facilities for the educational process.
	Standard 2-1.3	Participation in selection and supervision of the teaching staff. Perform periodic, at least annual, written evaluations of the teaching staff.
	Standard 2-1.4	Responsibility for adequate educational resource materials for education of the fellows, including access to adequate learning resources.
	Standard 2-1.5	Responsibility for selection of fellows and ensuring that all appointed fellows meet the minimum eligibility requirements.
	Standard 2-1.6	Maintenance of appropriate records of the program, including fellow and patient statistics, institutional agreements, and fellow records.
Oral Medicine		
	Standard 2-6	Part-time residents must start and complete the program within a single institution, except when the program is discontinued or relocated.
		Intent: The director of an accredited program may enroll residents on a part-time basis providing that (1) residents are also enrolled on a full-time basis, (2) the educational experiences, including the clinical experiences and responsibilities, are equivalent to those acquired by full-time residents and (3) there are an equivalent number of months spent in the program.

Standard 3-1	The program must be administered by an appointed director who is full-time faculty and who is board certified in oral medicine.
Standard 3-2	The program director must have sufficient authority and time to fulfill administrative and teaching responsibilities in order to achieve the educational goals of the program.
	 Intent: The program director's responsibilities include: a) selecting residents; b) developing and implementing the curriculum; c) utilizing faculty to offer a diverse educational experience in biomedical, behavioral and clinical sciences;
	 d) facilitating the cooperation between oral medicine, general dentistry, related dental specialties, medicine and other health care disciplines; e) evaluating and documenting resident training, including training in affiliated institutions;
	 f) documenting educational and patient care records as well as records of resident attendance and participation in didactic and clinical programs, g) ensuring quality and continuity of patient care; h) ensuring research opportunities for the residents; i) planning for and operation of facilities used in the
	program; j) training of support staff at an appropriate level; and k) preparing and encouraging graduates to seek certification by the American Board of Oral Medicine.
Standard 3-8	The program director and staff must actively participate in the assessment of the outcomes of the educational program.
Standard 5-5	The program's resident evaluation system must assure that, through the director and faculty, each program:
	 a) periodically, but at least two times annually, evaluates and documents the resident's progress toward achieving the program's written goals and objectives or competencies for resident training using appropriate written criteria and procedures; b) provides residents with an assessment of their performance after each evaluation; and c) maintains a personal record of evaluation for each resident which is accessible to the resident and available for review during site visits.
	Intent: The program should employ evaluation methods that measure a resident's skills or behavior at a given time. It is expected that the program will, in addition, evaluate the degree to which the resident is making progress toward achieving the specific goals and objectives or competencies for resident training described in response to Standards 2-10, 2-12 and 2-14. Where deficiencies are noted, corrective actions are taken. The

		final resident evaluation or final measurement of educational outcomes may count as one of the two annual evaluations.
Orofacial Pain		
	Standard 2-20	The program's resident evaluation system must assure that, through the director and faculty, each program:
		 a) periodically, but at least two times annually, evaluates and documents the resident's progress toward achieving the program's written goals and objectives of resident training or competencies using appropriate written criteria and procedures;
		b) provides residents with an assessment of their performance after each evaluation. Where deficiencies are noted, corrective actions must be taken; and
		c) maintains a personal record of evaluation for each resident that is accessible to the resident and available for review during site visits.
		Intent: While the program may employ evaluation methods that measure a resident's skills or behavior at a given time, it is expected that the program will, in addition, evaluate the degree to which the resident is making progress toward achieving the specific goals and objectives or competencies for resident training described in response to Standard 2-2.
	Standard 3-1	The program must be administered by a director who is board certified or educationally qualified in orofacial pain and has a full-time appointment in the sponsoring institution with a primary commitment to the orofacial pain program.
	Standard 3-2	The program director must have sufficient authority and time to fulfill administrative and teaching responsibilities in order to achieve the educational goals of the program.
		Intent: The program director's responsibilities include: a. program administration; b. development and implementation of the curriculum plan; c. ongoing evaluation of program content, faculty teaching, and resident performance; d. evaluation of resident training and supervision in affiliated institutions and off-service rotations; e. maintenance of records related to the educational program; and f. resident selection; and g. preparing graduates to seek certification by the American Board of Orofacial Pain.

		In those programs where applicants are assigned centrally, responsibility for selection of residents may be delegated to a designee.
Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics (Residency)		
	Standard 1-4	For each site where educational activity occurs, there must be an on-site clinical supervisor who is qualified by education and/or clinical experience in the curriculum areas for which they are responsible.
	Standard 2	The program must be administered by one director who is board certified in the respective advanced dental education discipline of the program. (All program directors appointed after January 1, 1997, who have not previously served as program directors, must be board certified.)
		The program director must be appointed to the sponsoring institution and have sufficient authority and time to achieve the educational goals of the program and assess the program's effectiveness in meeting its goals.
		Documentation of all program activities must be ensured by the program director and available for review.
	Standard 2-1	The program must be directed by one individual.
	Standard 2-2	The program director position must be full-time as defined by the institution.
	Standard 2-3	There must be evidence that sufficient time is devoted to the program by the director so that the educational and administrative responsibilities can be met.
	Standard 2-5	Besides maintaining clinical skills, the director must have teaching experience in orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics. For all appointments after July 1, 2009, the director must have had teaching experience in an academic orthodontic departmental setting for a minimum of two (2) years.
	Standard 2-14	The program director and faculty must prepare students/residents to pursue certification by the American Board of Orthodontics.
	Standard 2-14.a	The program director must document the number of graduates who become certified by the American Board of Orthodontics.
Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics (Fellowship)		

	T	
	Standard 1-5	For each site where educational activity occurs, there must be an on-site clinical supervisor who is qualified by education and/or clinical experience in the curriculum areas for which they are responsible.
	Standard 2	The program must be administered by a director who has documented expertise in Craniofacial Anomalies and Special Care (CFA&SC) orthodontics. Additionally, the program director must either be board certified in orthodontics or have previously served as a director in a craniofacial orthodontic fellowship program prior to January 1, 2008.
	Standard 2-1	Program Director: The program must be directed by one individual. The responsibilities of the program director must include:
	Standard 2-1.1	Development of the goals and objectives of the program and definition of a systematic method of assessing these goals by appropriate outcomes measures.
	Standard 2-1.2	Ensuring the provision of adequate physical facilities for the educational process.
	Standard 2-1.3	Participation in selection and supervision of the teaching staff. Perform periodic, at least annual, written evaluations of the teaching staff.
	Standard 2-1.4	Responsibility for adequate educational resource materials for education of the students/fellows, including access to adequate learning resources.
	Standard 2-1.5	Responsibility for selection of students/fellows and ensuring that all appointed students/fellows meet the minimum eligibility requirements.
	Standard 2-1.6	Maintenance of appropriate records of the program, including student/fellow and patient statistics, institutional agreements, and student/fellow records.
Pediatric Dentistry		
	Standard 1	The position of the program in the administrative structure must be consistent with that of other parallel programs within the institution and the program director must have the authority, responsibility, and privileges necessary to manage the program.
	Standard 1-3	For each site where educational activity occurs, there must be an on-site clinical supervisor who is qualified by education and/or clinical experience in the curriculum areas for which he/she is responsible.
	Standard 2	The program must be administered by one director who is board certified in the respective advanced dental education discipline of the program. (All program directors appointed after January 1, 1997, who have not previously served as program directors, must be board certified.)

	Intent: The director of an advanced dental education program is to be certified by a nationally accepted certifying board in the advanced dental education discipline. Board certification is to be active. The board certification requirement of Standard 2 is also applicable to an interim/acting program director. A program with a director who is not board certified but who has previous experience as an interim/acting program director in a Commission-accredited program prior to 1997 is not considered in compliance with Standard 2.
Standard 2	The program director must be appointed to the sponsoring institution and have sufficient authority and time to achieve the educational goals of the program and assess the program's effectiveness in meeting its goals.
Standard 2-1	The program director must be evaluated annually.
Standard 2-2 (and sub-parts)	Administrative Responsibilities: The program director must have sufficient authority and time to fulfill administrative program assessment and teaching responsibilities in order to achieve the educational goals of the program including: Intent: Program directors with remote programs have resources to visit these programs. 2-2.1 Student/Resident selection, unless the program is sponsored by federal services utilizing a centralized student/resident selection process. 2-2.2 Curriculum development and implementation. 2-2.3 Ongoing evaluation of program goals, objectives and content and outcomes assessment. Intent: The program uses a formal and ongoing outcomes assessment process to include measures of advanced education student/resident achievement that relate directly to the stated program goals and objectives.
	2-2.4 Annual evaluations of faculty performance by the program director or department chair; including a discussion of the evaluation with each faculty member.
	2-2.5 Evaluation of student/resident performance.
	2-2.6 Participation with institutional leadership in planning for and operation of facilities used in the educational program.
	2-2.7 Evaluation of student's/resident's training and supervision in affiliated institutions.
	2-2.8 Maintenance of records related to the educational program, including written instructional objectives,

 1	1
	course outlines and student/resident clinical logs (RCLs) documenting the completion of specified procedures and/or patient complexity, including:
	a) nitrous oxide analgesia patient encounters as primary operator
	b) patient encounters in which sedative agents other than nitrous oxide (but may include nitrous oxide in combination with other agents) are used
	c) operating room cases
	d) clinical procedures (e.g. emergency, trauma, restorative, preventative, orthodontic, multi-disciplinary, etc.)
	e) patient diversity/complexity (e.g. well-patient, medically complex, special needs,
	Intent: These records are to be available for on-site review: overall program objectives, objectives of student/resident rotations, specific student/resident schedules by semester or year, completed student/resident evaluation forms for current students/residents and recent alumni, self-assessment process, curricula vitae of faculty responsible for instruction. The RCL provides programs with data required for program improvement and gives students/residents and official record of clinical procedures required by regulatory boards and hospitals. The RCL may be comprised of a HIPAA-compliant patient and procedure log and/or a printout of procedure codes, for example, and may be compiled by the program, student/resident, and/or staff. 2-2.9 Responsibility for overall continuity and quality of patient care. 2-2.10 Oversight responsibility for student/resident research. 2-2.11 Responsibility for determining the roles and responsibilities of associate program director(s) and their regular evaluation.
Standard 4	If an institution and/or program enrolls part-time
	students/residents, the institution/program must have guidelines regarding enrollment of part-time students/residents. Part-time students/residents must start and complete the program within a single institution, except when the program is discontinued. The director of an accredited program who enrolls students/residents on a part-time basis must ensure that: (1) the educational experiences, including the clinical experiences and responsibilities, are the same as required by full-time students/residents; and (2) there are an equivalent number of months spent in the program.

	Standard 5	A system of ongoing evaluation and advancement must ensure that, through the director and faculty, each program:
		a. Periodically, but at least semiannually, assesses the progress toward (formative assessment) and achievement of (summative assessment) the competencies for the discipline using formal evaluation methods;
		b. Provides to students/residents an assessment of their performance, at least semiannually;
		c. Advances students/residents to positions of higher responsibility only on the basis of an evaluation of their readiness for advancement; and
		d. Maintains a personal record of evaluation for each student/resident which is accessible to the student/resident and available for review during site visits.
Periodontics		
	Standard 2	The program must be administered by one director who is board certified in the respective advanced dental education discipline of the program. (All program directors appointed after January 1, 1997, who have not previously served as program directors, must be board certified.) The program director must be appointed to the sponsoring institution and have sufficient authority and time to achieve the educational goals of the program and assess the program's effectiveness in meeting its goals.
		Documentation of all program activities must be ensured by the program director and available for review.
	Standard 2-1	The program director must have primary responsibility for the organization and execution of the educational and administrative components of the program. The director must devote sufficient time to the program to include the following:
		a. Utilize a faculty that can offer a diverse educational experience in biomedical, behavioral and clinical
		sciences; b. Promote cooperation between periodontics, general dentistry, related dental specialties and other health sciences;
		c. Select students/residents qualified to undertake training in periodontics unless the program is sponsored by a federal service utilizing a

		centralized student/resident
		selection process;
		d. Develop and implement the
		curriculum plan;
		e. Evaluate and document
		student/resident and faculty performance;
		f. Document educational and patient
		care records as well as records of
		student/resident attendance and
		participation in didactic and clinical
		programs; and
		g. Responsibility for the quality and
		continuity of patient care.
	Standard 2-2	The program director must prepare graduates to seek
		certification by the American Board of Periodontology.
		8,1
		a. The program director must track
		Board Certification of program
		graduates.
	Standard 2-9	The program director and faculty must actively participate in
		the assessment of the outcomes of the educational program.
Prosthodontics		·
	Standard 1	The position of the program in the administrative structure must
		be consistent with that of other parallel programs within the
		institution and the program director must have the authority
		responsibility, and privileges necessary to manage the program.
	Standard 1-2	For each site, including those at major and minor educational
		activity sites, there must be an on-site clinical supervisor who is
		an educationally qualified specialist in the curriculum areas for
		which he/she is responsible.
	Standard 2	The program must be administered by one director who is board
		certified in the respective advanced dental education discipline of
		the program. (All program directors appointed after January 1,
		1997, who have not previously served as program directors, must
		be board certified.)
		,
		Intent: The director of an advanced dental education program is
		to be certified by a nationally accepted certifying board in the
		advanced dental education discipline. Board certification is to
		be active. The board certification requirement of Standard 2 is
		also applicable to an interim/acting program director. A
		program with a director who is not board certified, but who has
		previous experience as an interim/acting program director in a
		Commission-accredited program prior to 1997 is not considered
		in compliance with Standard 2.
	Standard 2	The program director must be appointed to the sponsoring
	Sumana 2	institution and have sufficient authority and time to achieve the
		educational goals of the program and assess the program's
		effectiveness in meeting its goals.
		checuveness in incening its goals.

Standard 2	Documentation of all program activities must be ensured by the
	program director and available for review.
Standard 2-1.1	The program director must have primary responsibility for the organization and execution of the educational and administrative components to the program.
	The program director must devote sufficient time to: a. Participate in the student/resident selection process, unless the program is sponsored by federal services utilizing a centralized student/resident selection process; b. Develop and implement the curriculum plan to provide a diverse educational experience in biomedical and clinical sciences; c. Maintain a current copy of the curriculum's goals, objectives, and content outlines; d. Maintain a record of the number and variety of clinical experiences accomplished by each student/resident; e. Ensure that the majority of faculty assigned to the program are educationally qualified prosthodontists; f. Provide written faculty evaluations at least annually to determine the effectiveness of the faculty in the educational program; g. Conduct periodic staff meetings for the proper administration of the educational program; and h. Maintain adequate records of clinical supervision.
Standard 2-2	The program director must encourage students/residents to seek certification by the American Board of Prosthodontics.
Standard 4	Documentation of all program activities must be ensured by the program director and available for review.
Standard 4	If an institution and/or program enrolls part-time students/residents, the institution/program must have guidelines regarding enrollment of part-time students/residents. Part-time students/residents must start and complete the program within a single institution, except when the program is discontinued. The director of an accredited program who enrolls students/residents on a part-time basis must ensure that: (1) the educational experiences, including the clinical experiences and responsibilities, are the same as required by full-time students/residents; and (2) there are an equivalent number of months spent in the program.
Intent Standard 4-4	Students/Residents must have the didactic/clinical background that supports successful completion of the prosthodontic specialty board examination and fosters life-long learning.
	Intent: Program directors promote prosthodontic board certification. It is expected that students/residents continue their life-long professional development by employing the didactic and clinical knowledge acquired during the program.

Page 1401 Appendix 1 Subpage 30 Administrative Oversight at Major Sites Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

Intent Standard 4-32	Students/Residents must have the didactic/clinical background that supports successful completion of the prosthodontic specialty board examination and fosters life-long learning. Intent: Program directors should promote prosthodontic board certification to attain the appropriate hospital appointment for the clinical practice of maxillofacial prosthetics. It is expected that students/residents continue their life-long professional development by employing the didactic and clinical knowledge acquired during the maxillofacial program.
Standard 5	A system of ongoing evaluation and advancement must ensure that, through the director and faculty, each program: a. Periodically, but at least semiannually, assesses the progress toward (formative assessment) and achievement of (summative assessment) the competencies for the discipline using formal evaluation methods; b. Provides to students/residents an assessment of their performance, at least semiannually; c. Advances students/residents to positions of higher responsibility only on the basis of an evaluation of their readiness for advancement; and d. Maintains a personal record of evaluation for each student/resident which is accessible to the student/resident and available for review during site visits.

Page 1402 Subpage 1 Diversity and Learning Environment Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

CONSIDERATION OF ACCREDITATION STANDARDS FOR ADVANCED DENTAL EDCUATION PROGRAMS IN PROSTHODONTICS RELATED TO DIVERSITY AND HUMANISTIC CULTURE AND LEARNING ENVIRONMENT

Background: At its Winter 2023 meeting, the Commission on Dental Accreditation (CODA) considered the Report of its Review Committee on Predoctoral Dental Education (PREDOC RC) related to the November 4, 2022 request from Dr. Lawrence F. Hill, president of The National Coalition of Dentists for Health Equity (NCDHE). The Commission directed the Ad Hoc Committee to Review Accreditation Standards for Dental Education Programs to consider the proposed revisions to Standards 1-3, 1-4 and 4-4 submitted by The National Coalition of Dentists for Health Equity (TNCDHE), with a future report to the Review Committee and Commission.

At its Summer 2023 meeting, the Standing Committee on Quality Assurance and Strategic Planning (QASP) discussed the February 16, 2023 letter and previously reviewed November 4, 2022 letter and materials from the NCDHE. The February 16, 2023 letter provided short term recommendations that would not require revision of the Accreditation Standards. The QASP members reviewed this topic again and believed that the TNCDHE letter appeared to focus on the enforcement of standards, calibration of site visitors, and diversity of CODA's site visitor volunteers. Following consideration of the QASP report, the Commission on Dental Accreditation directed a formal letter to The National Coalition of Dentists for Health Equity to inform the Coalition of the Commission's second review of its correspondence and actions that were underway by the Commission related to diversity, equity, inclusion and belonging.

On December 1, 2023, the Commission received a letter from TNCDHE (**Appendix 1**). In its letter, TNCDHE provided short-term and long-term suggestions to CODA to improve diversity in all academic dental, allied dental, and advanced dental education programs.

The short-term suggestions from TNCDHE included:

- 1. Better training of site visit teams on how to assess whether an educational program has implemented a plan to achieve positive results.
- 2. Ensuring site visit teams are inclusive of educators who represent diversity, such as in race, color, national or ethnic origin, age, disability, sex, gender, gender identity, and/or gender expression, and sexual orientation. Further, when possible, site visit team members should be representative of dental schools with demonstrated success in increasing diversity and assuring a humanistic environment.
- 3. Redefining the meaning and intent of "diversity" in the Standards, considering the recent Supreme Court decision. While the term diversity can no longer specifically relate to race with respect to admissions other characteristics such as family income, first-in-college-in family, socioeconomic status, birthplace, gender identity and sexual orientation, and other attributes might be used as hallmarks of diversity.

The long-term suggestions from TNCDHE included:

1. Achieving a humanistic environment, addressing discrimination in policies and practice. Suggested revisions to the Accreditation Standards for Predoctoral Dental Education

Page 1402 Subpage 2 Diversity and Learning Environment Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

- Programs were provided.
- 2. Review of student admissions related to the underrepresented segments of the population enrolled in dental schools. Suggested revisions and additions to various Accreditation Standards were provided.
- 3. Considering Standards related to an inclusive environment in dental education. Suggested revisions and additions to various Accreditation Standards were provided.
- 4. Considering Standards related to access to care among diverse populations. Suggested revisions and additions to various Accreditation Standards were provided.

In Winter 2024, each Review Committee of the Commission provided comment to CODA on TNCDHE letter, which was reviewed by the Commission. Following consideration of Review Committee Reports, the Commission directed establishment of an Ad Hoc Committee composed of all Commissioners who chair the discipline-specific Review Committees in dental, allied dental, and advanced dental education, and additional CODA Commissioners, to study the Accreditation Standards for possible revision related to the letter from The National Coalition of Dentists for Health Equity.

Summer 2024: The Ad Hoc Committee, which was comprised of all current CODA Commissioners, met on August 7, 2024 at the ADA Headquarters, in association with the Commission's Summer 2024 meeting. The Ad Hoc Committee reviewed the background materials, which included the prior work of the Commission on this topic, the letters from TNCDHE, CODA Standards related to diversity and the humanistic culture including proposed revisions, Annual Survey data on dental programs related to diversity, and information from other accrediting agencies. The Committee engaged in extensive discussion related to TNCDHE's most recent letter of December 1, 2023, and the short-term and long-term recommendations of TNCDHE. The Commission noted the Predoctoral Dental Education Review Committee submitted a report to the Commission for consideration at the Summer 2024 meeting, including significant revisions to the Accreditation Standards addressing diversity and the humanistic culture among other proposed changes, which address some of the recommendations of TNCDHE. Additionally, it was noted that the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Review Committee submitted a report on proposed revisions related to diversity and the humanistic culture, following a period of public comment, which would also be reviewed at the Summer 2024 meeting. The Committee noted that this is an important topic, but other considerations must also be acknowledged including differences among institutions related to missions, resources, funding, state and federal regulations, and legal considerations. It was noted that some states do not permit initiatives focused on diversity, and the Commission cannot impose Standards that would conflict with state or federal law. As such, the Committee noted the proposed predoctoral dental education Standard revision, which discusses diversity efforts, would be consistent with university policy and state law. The Committee also noted that other dental organizations such as the American Dental Association (ADA) and American Dental Education Association (ADEA) are working to enhance diversity and these agencies should continue to support this effort.

Following consideration, the Ad Hoc Committee concluded that all Review Committees of the Commission should consider the proposed revisions for the Dental Standards 1-2 and 1-3 and

Page 1402 Subpage 3 Diversity and Learning Environment Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

revisions for the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Standards 1-11 and 2-1.7 (adopted Summer 2024), for possible inclusion of similar Standards within the Review Committee's own discipline(s) to address diversity and the humanistic culture, with a report to the Commission in Winter 2025.

The Commission concurred with the Ad Hoc Committee's recommendation. Additionally, the Commission directed that work continue with further consideration of TNCDHE's December 1, 2023, short-term and long-term recommendations, with additional work to occur prior to the Commission's Winter 2025 meeting. The Commission also directed a letter, which was subsequently sent to The National Coalition of Dentists for Health Equity to provide an update on CODA's review of this matter, noting the topic's complexity and rapidly changing educational and regulatory environment, which must be monitored, while noting the Commission's commitment to a diverse academic environment.

<u>Summary</u>: The Review Committee on Prosthodontics Education is requested to review the letter from The National Coalition of Dentists for Health Equity (**Appendix 1**), as well as the Prosthodontics Accreditation Standards, and reference materials including the proposed Dental Standards 1-2 and 1-3 and adopted revisions for Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Standards 1-11 and 2-1.7 (**Appendix 2**), for possible inclusion of similar Standards to address diversity and the humanistic culture. The Review Committee may determine that Standards already exist, which address diversity and the humanistic culture. Alternately, the Review Committee may determine that Standards require modification or addition and may propose changes to the Commission for further consideration including possible circulation to the communities of interest for a period of comment.

Recommendation:

Prepared by: Dr. Sherin Tooks



Page 1402 Appendix 1 Subpage 1 Diversity and Learning Environment Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

Board Members

Leon Assael, DMD

Terry Batliner, DDS, **MPA**

Frank Catalanotto, DMD - Vice President

Caswell Evans, Jr., DDS, MPH – Vice President

Todd Hartsfield, DDS

Lawrence F. Hill DDS, MPH – President

Rachael Hogan, DDS

Kim Perry, DDS, MSCS

Ronald Romero, DDS, **MPH**

Robert Russell, DDS. MPH, MPA, CPM, FACD, FICD

Karl Self, DDS, MBA

Cheyanne Warren, DDS, MS

December 1, 2023

Dr. Sherin Tooks, EdD, MS Director, Commission on Dental Accreditation Commission on Dental Accreditation 211 East Chicago Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60611 tookss@ada.org

Dear Dr. Tooks,

Recommendations to increase diversity in dental education and practice via the Commission on Dental Accreditation Standards

The National Coalition of Dentists for Health Equity's mission is to support and promote evidence informed policy and practices that address inequities in oral health. One of our priorities is to advocate for greater diversity among dental students and faculty to better reflect the diversity of the US population in the oral health workforce.

In November of 2022, we wrote to the Commission on Dental Education (CODA), expressing concerns about the lack of diversity in predoctoral dental education and the apparent lack of enforcement of the CODA standards on diversity (hot link to our letter on our website). We observed that despite these standards, no dental schools (as of 2022) had received a recommendation related to diversity over the ten years that the standards had been in place. Our letter recommended new standards, policies, and procedures that would enhance diversity in predoctoral dental education. We were pleased to learn that CODA accepted our letter and referred it to a committee reviewing potential changes in the predoctoral standards and that the committee's report

will be considered in the early 2024 CODA meetings.

Since 2022, we have spent additional time reviewing CODA standards for the other academic dental educational programs including dental hygiene, dental therapy and advanced education programs and realized our recommendations should also apply to these other programs. In this letter, we review our original recommendations, and propose additional ones for all educational programs.

Page 1402
Appendix 1
Subpage 2
Diversity and Learning Environment
Prosthodontics RC
CODA Winter 2025

We believe that the dental school accreditation standards utilized by CODA serve a vital role in achieving a diverse oral health workforce. However, we also believe that the current CODA predoctoral education standards do not appear to be encouraging academic dental institutions to recruit a more diverse student body or faculty. CODA adopted the new diversity predoctoral education standards 1-3 and 1-4 about ten years ago. However, recent data from the American Dental Education Association shows that "between 2011 and 2019, the percentage of HURE applicants increased only 2.2% annually on a compounded basis, Additionally, the proportion of all HURE dental school first-year, first-time enrollees for the entering class increased by only 3% between 2011 (13%) to 2019 (16%) (ADEA Report-Slow to Change: HURE Groups in Dental Education, https://www.adea.org/HURE/)" The conclusion we draw is that dental schools are not doing enough to recruit more HURE students to meet the intent of the CODA Standards.

We recognize that the recent Supreme Court decision to abolish the use of race in making admission decisions will prevent academic dental institutions from using race as a determining factor in admissions. The recommendations we make below do not suggest or presume that strategy.

In this letter, we are offering several additional suggestions to CODA to improve the diversity of all academic dental education programs, including predoctoral, dental hygiene, advanced educational programs and dental therapy. Three of these are short term recommendations that are not related to changing accreditation standards, with the understanding that CODA appropriately takes considerable time in changing standards which entails seeking input from many individuals, communities, and entities. In addition, we make another set of suggestions that are long term and include modifications to the "Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance" for some of the standards. Our recommendations are based on papers found in recent Special Editions of The Journal of Public Health Dentistry and the Journal of Dental Education.

In particular, the longer-term suggestions build on the recommendations of the paper by Smith, PD, Evans CA, Fleming, E, Mays, KAI Rouse, LE and Sinkford, J, 'Establishing an antiracism framework for dental education through critical assessment of accreditation standards, as well as two additional papers in the Special Edition including Swann, BJ, Tawana D. Feimste, TD, Deirdre D. Young, DD and Steffany Chamut, S, 'Perspectives on justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion (JEDI): A call for oral health care policy;' and Formicola, AJ and Evans, C, 'Gies re-visited.' Note that some of these recommendations were included in the previous letter to CODA sent on November 4, 2022

SHORT-TERM SUGGESTIONS

Suggestion 1: We recommend that site visit teams be better trained on how to assess whether an educational program has implemented a viable plan that achieves positive results. Under the structural diversity section of the Standards, it is stated clearly that the numerical distribution of students, faculty and staff from diverse backgrounds will be assessed. Assessment is appropriate but showing an improvement in the diversity of the dental schools' academic communities based on the school's plans and policies should also be demonstrated.

Page 1402
Appendix 1
Subpage 3
Diversity and Learning Environment
Prosthodontics RC
CODA Winter 2025

Since site visit teams are different for each school, there can be no consistency in the assessment process unless site visitors are given explicit expectations of what schools should demonstrate to comply with each of the two standards. CODA should develop a specific detailed orientation for each site visit team on what is acceptable and what is not acceptable for each of these two standards.

Suggestion 2: To be better able to assess whether schools meet diversity and humanistic standards, site visit teams should be inclusive of educators who represent diversity, such as in race, color, national or ethnic origin, age, disability, sex, gender, gender identity, and/or gender expression, and sexual orientation. Wherever possible, site visit team members should also be representative of dental schools that have demonstrated success in increasing diversity and assuring a humanistic environment.

Suggestion 3: Especially in light of the recent Supreme Court decision, CODA should redefine the meaning and intent of the term "diversity" in the Standards documents. While the term diversity can no longer specifically relate to race with respect to admissions other characteristics such as family income, first-in-college-in-family, socioeconomic status, birthplace, gender identity and sexual orientation, and other attributes might be used as hallmarks of diversity.

LONG-TERM SUGGESTIONS

1) Achieving a humanistic environment- Not much is known about how dental schools address discrimination in their humanistic environment policies and practices. Although school policies on anti-discrimination might exist, students, faculty, and staff from underrepresented populations may still experience microaggressions, discrimination, racism, and barriers to socialization and mentorship. It has been suggested that such experiences may be underreported due to numerous factors, including fear of retaliation and/or disbelief that such concerns will be adequately addressed by the dental school. Because there are small numbers of underrepresented students, faculty, and staff in some dental schools, even anonymous humanistic surveys may not reveal these issues.

Suggested new "Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance with Predoctoral Education Standard 1-3 may include:"

- Policies and procedures (and documentation of their effectiveness) implemented to seek feedback from traditionally underrepresented individuals concerning their experiences with the school's environment.
- Results of feedback that the school has sought from underrepresented students, faculty, and staff about their experiences with the school's environment.
- Documentation of the number and types of problems, complaints, and grievances reported about the school's environment, together with documentation of the school's effectiveness in addressing these issues.

Page 1402
Appendix 1
Subpage 4
Diversity and Learning Environment
Prosthodontics RC
CODA Winter 2025

2) Student Admissions

Despite the historical lack of students and faculty from underrepresented segments of the population enrolled in US dental schools, it appears that dental schools are rarely cited for not meeting Standard 1-4. One reason for this may be that the standard allows dental schools to set their own interpretations and expectations for student and faculty diversity. As a result, diversity at some dental schools may not appropriately emphasize certain specific underrepresented segments of the population and/or entirely represent the diversity of the local and regional population surrounding the schools, and/or reflect the national demographics in which the schools' graduates will practice their profession. Additionally, CODA provides no specificity for the level of engagement, with respect to recruitment, that dental schools should have with underrepresented populations

Suggested new "Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include".

- Documentation that the school has implemented policies, procedures, and strategies to attract and retain students, faculty and staff from diverse backgrounds in order to achieve parity with the diversity profiles of the school's local, regional or national populations
- Documentation of longitudinal improvement in the diversity of the school's students, faculty, and staff. Where improvement is absent or minimal, documentation of the evaluation of strategies to improve diversity and of modifications made to these strategies to improve outcomes.

The intent of Standard 1-4 states that "admissions criteria and procedures should ensure the selection of a diverse student body with the potential of successfully completing the program". A problem is that the interpretation of this intent can vary dramatically from school to school. Admissions decisions are made by committees of people, and although there are trainings and processes to address implicit biases toward traditionally underrepresented applicants, the admissions process is still largely subjective. There are unique social and structural issues that exist for underrepresented applicants that must also be considered when assessing their potential for success. Those issues may influence undergraduate education academic achievements including GPA's and standardized tests. The question to admissions committees shouldn't necessarily be which applicant has the higher score, but rather does an applicant demonstrate appropriate academic achievements, despite a history of significant barriers, to successfully negotiate the curriculum.

Suggested new "Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include:"

 Documentation of policies and procedures used to consider the unique social and structural constructs that affect traditionally underrepresented applicants in the admissions decisionmaking process.

Page 1402
Appendix 1
Subpage 9
Diversity and Learning Environment
Prosthodontics RC
CODA Winter 2025

- Documentation of procedures used to educate admissions committee members to implicit biases that may exist with respect to the potential of underrepresented applicants to excel in the academic program.
- Documentation of admissions criteria intended to assess not only academic achievements, but also the interest, desire, and commitment of applicants to learn about issues such as cultural competency, community-based practice, and addressing inequities in oral health within the population.

Standards 4-4 for Predoctoral Dental Education programs and Standard 4-2 for Dental Therapy programs state "Admission policies and procedures must be designed to include recruitment and admission of a diverse student population". There are no accreditation standards for Dental Hygiene or Advanced Educational programs that mandate that these programs have policies and practices to achieve a diverse student population. It is recommended that CODA add these standards with appropriate intent statements and examples of evidence to document compliance.

Generally, with respect to Standards 1-3, 1-4, and 4-4, we recommend that CODA strengthen the accountability that should undergird the standards. There must be accountability around these standards. Accountability must be built into the process of reviewing the standards, supporting site visitors in their work, and making sure that dental schools who fail to meet the standards are required to improve their practices and those dental schools who are exceeding the standards should be encouraged to continue to grow.

3) Inclusive Environments in Dental Education

Underrepresented students have a more difficult time achieving both success and a feeling of belonging in dental educational programs for a myriad of reasons.

To improve retention of students in dental education programs facing academic, social or emotional challenge, it is recommended that CODA strengthen the intent statement for student services (Standard 4-7 for predoctoral programs and Standard 4-12 for the dental therapy programs).

The intent statement should state "programs should have policies and procedures which promote early identification and subsequent mentoring/counseling of students having academic and/or personal issues which have the potential of affecting academic success or the personal well-being of students".

Dental Hygiene and Advanced Education programs have no accreditation standards that address academic or personal support for students having difficulties. It is recommended standards be added.

Page 1402
Appendix 1
Subpage 9
Diversity and Learning Environment
Prosthodontics RC
CODA Winter 2025

4) Access to Care among Diverse Populations

Access to dental care, and therefore oral and systemic health, is significantly compromised by a number of factors including race, gender, sexual orientation, economic status, education, and neighborhood environment, among other factors.

CODA should strengthen the intent statements with respect to graduates being competent in treating patients in all life stages (predoctoral standard 2-22, dental hygiene standard 2-12 and dental therapy standard 2-20) to assure that foundational knowledge is taught and clinical competence is assessed with respect to changes in oral physiology, the management of the various chronic diseases and associated therapeutics associated with aging, as well as psychological, nutritional and functional challenges manifested in many of these patients.

The intent statement of predoctoral standard 2-17, which addresses student's competence in managing a diverse population, is vague. It is recommended CODA strengthen predoctoral standard 2-17 by stating that "graduates MUST (currently reads should) learn about factors and practices associated with disparities in health status among vulnerable populations, including structural barriers, and must display competency in understanding how these barriers, including prejudices and policies regarding, but not limited to race, gender, sexual preferences, economic status, education and neighborhood environment, affect health and disease and access to care".

There are no standards for dental hygiene or advanced education programs that mandate that graduates be competent in treating a diverse population. CODA should add such standards to these programs.

According to the intent statement of predoctoral Standard 2-26, students working in community health care or service-learning settings are essential to the development of a culturally sensitive workforce. However, the standard merely states that the program makes available such learning environments and that students be urged to avail themselves of such opportunities. CODA should mandate the student's participation in service-learning and/or community-based health centers clinics.

We are pleased to submit these suggestions to CODA and we hope they will be considered by CODA in our mutual efforts to increase the diversity of the dental workforce.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lawrence Hill DDS MPH

President, National Coalition of Dentists for Health Equity

cc:

American Dental Education Association - Dr. Karen West, President; Sonya Smith, Chief Diversity Officer, American Dental Education Officer

Page 1402
Appendix 1
Subpage 7

Diversity and Learning Environment
Prosthodontics RC
CODA Winter 2025

National Dental Association - Tammy Dillard-Steels, MPH, MBA, CAE, Executive Director; Dr. Marlon D. Henderson, President; Dr. Kim Perry, Chairman of the Board

Diverse Dental Society - Dr. Tamana Begay, President

American Dental Therapy Association – Cristina Bowerman MNM, CAE, Executive Director

Hispanic Dental Association - Dr. Christina Meiners, 2023 President; Juan Carlos Pierotti, Operations Manager **Society of American Indian Dentists** - Dr. Cristin Haase, President; Janice Morrow, Executive Director;

American Dental Association – Dr. Ray Cohlmia, Executive Director; Dr. Jane Grover, Council on Advocacy for

Access, and Prevention; Dr. Linda J. Edgar, President

American Dental Hygienists' Association – Jennifer Hill, Interim CEO; JoAnn Gurenlian, RDH, MS, PhD, AAFAAOM, FADHA Director, Education, Research & Advocacy

Community Catalyst – Tera Bianchi, Director of Partner Engagement; Parrish Ravelli, Associate Director, Dental Access Project

National Indian Health Board – Brett Webber, Environmental Health Programs Director; Dawn Landon, Public Health Policy and Programs Project Coordinator

American Institute of Dental Public Health – David Cappelli Co-Founder and Chair; Annaliese Cothron, Executive Director

Page 1402
Appendix 2
Subpage 1
Diversity and Learning Environment
Prosthodontics RC
CODA Winter 2025

COMMISSION ON DENTAL ACCREDITATION STANDARDS RELATED TO DIVERSITY AND LEARNING ENVIRONMENT

Current Standards are in Black Font New Adopted Standards are in Red Font Proposed Standards are in Green Font

Discipline	Standard Number	Requirement of the Standard
Predoctoral Dental		
	Standard 1-3	The dental education program must have a stated commitment to a humanistic culture and learning environment that is regularly evaluated.
		Intent: The dental education program should ensure collaboration, mutual respect, cooperation, and harmonious relationships between and among administrators, faculty, students, staff, and alumni. The program should also support and cultivate the development of professionalism and ethical behavior by fostering diversity of faculty, students, and staff, open communication, leadership, and scholarship.
		 Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include: Established policies regarding ethical behavior by faculty, staff and students that are regularly reviewed and readily available Student, faculty, and patient groups involved in promoting diversity, professionalism and/or leadership support for their activities Focus groups and/or surveys directed towards gathering information on student, faculty, patient, and alumni perceptions of the cultural environment
	Standard 1-4	The dental school must have policies and practices to: a. achieve appropriate levels of diversity among its students, faculty and staff; b. engage in ongoing systematic and focused efforts to attract and retain students, faculty and staff from diverse backgrounds; and c. systematically evaluate comprehensive strategies to improve the institutional climate for diversity.
		Intent: The dental school should develop strategies to address the dimensions of diversity including, structure, curriculum and institutional climate. The dental school should articulate its expectations regarding diversity across its academic community in the context of local and national responsibilities, and regularly

	assess how well such expectations are being achieved. Schools could incorporate elements of diversity in their planning that include, but are not limited to, gender, racial, ethnic, cultural and socioeconomic. Schools should establish focused, significant, and sustained programs to recruit and retain suitably diverse students, faculty, and staff.
Standard 2-17	Graduates must be competent in managing a diverse patient population and have the interpersonal and communications skills to function successfully in a multicultural work environment.
	Intent: Students should learn about factors and practices associated with disparities in health status among subpopulations, including but not limited to, racial, ethnic, geographic, or socioeconomic groups. In this manner, students will be best prepared for dental practice in a diverse society when they learn in an environment characterized by, and supportive of, diversity and inclusion. Such an environment should facilitate dental education in: • basic principles of culturally competent health care; • basic principles of health literacy and effective communication for all patient populations • recognition of health care disparities and the development of solutions; • the importance of meeting the health care needs of dentally underserved populations, and; • the development of core professional attributes, such as altruism, empathy, and social accountability, needed to provide effective care in a multi- dimensionally diverse society.
Standard 2-26	Dental education programs must make available opportunities and encourage students to engage in service learning experiences and/or community-based learning experiences. Intent: Service learning experiences and/or community-based learning experiences are essential to the development of a culturally competent oral health care workforce. The interaction and treatment of diverse populations in a community-based clinical environment adds a special dimension to clinical learning experience and engenders a life-long appreciation for the value of community service.
Standard 4-4	Admission policies and procedures must be designed to include recruitment and admission of a diverse student population. Intent 4-1 to 4-4: The dental education curriculum is a scientifically oriented program which is rigorous and intensive. Admissions criteria and procedures should ensure the selection of a diverse student body

	with the potential for successfully completing the program. The administration and faculty, in cooperation with appropriate institutional personnel, should establish admissions procedures that are non-discriminatory and ensure the quality of the program.
Proposed Educational Environment	Among the factors that may influence predoctoral curricula are expectations of the parent institution, standing or emerging scientific evidence, new research foci, interfaces with specialty or other dental-related education programs, approaches to clinical education, and pedagogical philosophies and practices. In addition, the demographics of our society are changing, and the educational environment must reflect those changes. People are living longer with more complex health issues, and the dental profession will routinely be expected to provide care for these individuals. Each dental school must also have policies and practices to achieve an appropriate level of diversity among its students, faculty, and staff. While diversity variability of curricula is a strength of dental education, the core principles below promote an environment conducive to change, innovation, and continuous improvement in educational programs. Application of these principles throughout the dental education program is essential to achieving quality.
Proposed Humanistie Learning Environment	Dental schools are societies of learners, where graduates are prepared to join a learned and a scholarly society of oral health professionals. A humanistic pedagogy safe learning environment inculcates respect, tolerance, understanding, and concern for others and is fostered by mentoring, advising, and small group interaction. A dental school environment characterized by: • physical and psychological safety, free of intimidation, abuse, and retaliation; • respectful and collegial professional relationships between and among faculty, staff, and students; and • establishes a context for the development of interpersonal skills necessary for learning, for and patient care, and for making meaningful contributions to the profession.
Proposed Diversity and Inclusion	Diversity and inclusion in education is essential to academic excellence. A significant amount of learning occurs through informal interactions among individuals who are of different races, ethnicities, national origin, gender identity, age, physical abilities/qualities, sexual orientation, religions, and ideologic backgrounds; come from eities urban, rural areas, and from various geographic regions; and have a wide variety of interests,

	talents-abilities, and perspectives. These interactions allow students to directly and indirectly learn from their differences, and to stimulate one another to reexamine even their most deeply held assumptions about themselves and their world. Cultural competence cannot be effectively acquired in a relatively homogeneous environment. Programs must strive to create an environment that ensures an in-depth exchange of ideas and beliefs across gender, racial, ethnic, cultural, religious, and socioeconomic lines.
Proposed Definition of Terms	Cultural competence: Having the ability to provide care to patients with diverse backgrounds, values, beliefs, and behaviors, including tailoring delivery to meet patients' social, cultural, and linguistic needs. Cultural competence training includes the development of a skill set for more effective provider-patient communication and stresses the importance of providers' understanding the relationship between diversity of culture, values, beliefs, behavior, and language and the needs of patients.
	Diversity - Structural: Structural diversity, also referred to as compositional diversity, focuses on the numerical distribution of students, faculty, and staff from diverse backgrounds in a program or institution.
	Curriculum: Curriculum diversity, also referred to as elassroom diversity, covers both the diversity-related curricular content that promote shared learning and the integration of skills, insights, and experiences of diverse groups in all academic settings, including distance learning.
	<u>Diversity - Institutional Climate:</u> Institutional climate, also referred to as interactional diversity, focuses on the general environment created in programs and institutions that support diversity as a core value and provide opportunities for informal learning among diverse peers.
Proposed Standard 1-2	The dental education program must have a stated demonstrate a commitment to a humanistic culture and learning environment that includes: is regularly evaluated. a. a stated commitment and activities to promote a safe learning environment; b. regular evaluation of the learning environment, with input from faculty, staff, and students;

	c. actions aimed at enhancing the learning environment based on the results of regular evaluation.
	Intent: The dental education program should ensure collaboration, mutual respect, cooperation, and harmonious relationships between and among administrators, faculty, students, staff, and alumni. The program should also support and cultivate the development of professionalism and ethical behavior by fostering diversity of faculty, students, and staff, open communication, leadership, and scholarship.
	 Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include: Established policies regarding ethical behavior by faculty, staff and students that are regularly reviewed and readily available Development of a Code of Conduct Training to recognize and mitigate microaggressions, implicit and explicit bias, racism, gender identity and sexual orientation, hate speech, or other derogatory or harmful behaviors Student, faculty, and patient staff groups involved in promoting diversity, professionalism and/or leadership support for their activities Focus groups and/or surveys directed towards gathering information on student, faculty, patient, and alumni and staff perceptions of the cultural learning environment
Proposed Standard 1-3	The dental school must have policies and practices related to diversity and inclusion consistent with University policies and state law to: a. achieve appropriate levels of diversity among its students, faculty and staff; b. a. engage in ongoing systematic and focused efforts to attract and retain students, faculty, and staff from diverse backgrounds; and e. b. systematically evaluate comprehensive strategies to improve the institutional climate for dental school's diversity and inclusion.; and d. c. engage in actions aimed at enhancing the program's diversity and inclusion based on results of regular evaluation.
	Intent: The dental school should develop strategies to address the dimensions of diversity including, structure, curriculum and institutional climate. The dental school should articulates its expectations regarding diversity, equity, inclusion, and belonging across its academic community in the context of local and national responsibilities, and regularly assess how well such expectations are being achieved. Schools could incorporate elements of diversity and inclusion in their planning that include, but are not limited to.

		gender, ethnicity, race, cultural, and socioeconomic factors. gender, racial, ethnic, cultural and socioeconomic. Schools should establish focused, significant, and sustained programs to recruit and retain suitably diverse students, faculty, and staff.
Propo 2-9	osed Standard	Instruction in social and behavioral sciences must be at an in-depth level and include: a. patient management, including cultural diversity and interpersonal communications skills; b. intra-professional collaboration, including communicating with other members of the oral health care team; c. inter-professional collaboration, including communicating with other members of the health care team; d. professional conduct, including ethical decision making; e. legal and regulatory concepts related to patient care; f. basic principles of practice management, including models of oral health care delivery, and how to function successfully as the leader of the oral health care team; and g. oral epidemiology, dental public health, and social determinants of health.
Propo 2-10	osed Standard	Following patient experiences, graduates must demonstrate competence in social and behavioral sciences including: a. patient management, including cultural diversity and interpersonal communications skills; b. demonstration of intra-professional collaboration, including communicating with other members of the oral health care team; c. demonstration of inter-professional collaboration, including communicating with other members of the health care team d. adherence to professional conduct, including ethical decision making; and e. compliance with legal and regulatory concepts related to patient care.
Propo 2-15	osed Standard	Dental education programs The dental education program must make available community-based patient experience opportunities and encourage students to engage in service learning experiences and/or community based learning experiences-interact with and treat patients in varied clinical environments. Intent: Service learning experiences and/or cCommunity-based learning experiences are essential valuable to the development of a culturally competent oral health care workforce. The interaction and treatment of diverse populations in a community based clinical environment adds a special dimension to clinical learning experience and engenders a life-long appreciation for the value of community service.

	Proposed Standard 4-1	Specific wWritten criteria, policies and procedures, including policies and procedures designed to recruit and admit a diverse student population, must be followed when admitting predoctoral students. Intent 4-1 to 4-3 4-4: The dental education curriculum is a scientifically oriented program which is rigorous and intensive. Admissions criteria and procedures should ensures the selection of a diverse student body with the potential for successfully completing the program. The administration and faculty, in cooperation with appropriate institutional personnel, should establish admissions procedures that are non- discriminatory and ensure the quality of the program.
Dental Assisting		
	Standard 1-7	There must be an active advisory committee to serve as a liaison between the program, local dental and allied dental professionals and the community. Dentists and dental assistants must be equally represented.
		Intent: The purpose of the advisory committee is to provide a mutual exchange of information for program enhancement, meeting program and community needs, standards of patient care, and scope of practice. Membership should include representation from a variety of practice settings. The program administrator, faculty, students, and appropriate institutional personnel are non-voting participants.
		Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include: •Membership responsibilities are defined and terms staggered to provide both new input and continuity •Diverse membership with consideration given to student representation, recent graduate(s), public representation, and a profile of the local dental community. •Responsibilities of program representatives on the committee are defined in writing. •Meeting minutes are maintained and distributed to committee members.
	Standard 2-14	The dental science aspect of the curriculum must include content at the familiarity level in: a. Oral pathology b. General anatomy and physiology c. Microbiology d. Nutrition e. Pharmacology to include: i. Drug requirements, agencies, and regulations ii. Drug prescriptions

		iii. Drug actions, side effects, indications and contraindications
		iv. Common drugs used in dentistry
		v. Properties of anesthetics vi. Drugs and agents used to treat dental-related infection vii. Drug addiction including opioids and other substances f. Patients with special needs including patients whose medical, physical, psychological, or social conditions make it necessary to modify normal dental routines
	Standard 2-20	to modify normal dental routines.
	Standard 2-20	The program must demonstrate effectiveness in creating an academic environment that supports ethical and professional responsibility to include:
		a. Psychology of patient management and interpersonal communication
		b. Legal and ethical aspects of dentistry
		Intent:
		Faculty, staff and students should know how to draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive or of public concern.
		Examples of evidence may include:
		• Faculty, student, staff membership and participation in dental
		professional organizations, e.g., American Dental Assistants
		Association, American Dental Education Association, American
		Dental Association • Professional Code of Conduct
		State Dental Practice Act
		• Student Handbook
		Professional and ethical expectations
	Standard 2-21	The dental assisting program must provide opportunities and encourage students to engage in service and/or community-based learning experiences.
		Intent:
		Community-based experiences are essential to develop dental
		assistants who are responsive to the needs of a culturally diverse population.
		Examples of evidence may include:
		•Service hours
D (III)		Volunteer activities
Dental Hygiene		
	Standard 1-2	The program must have a stated commitment to a humanistic culture and learning environment that is regularly evaluated.
		Intent:
		The program should ensure collaboration, mutual respect,
		cooperation, and harmonious relationships between and among

	administrators, faculty, students, staff, and alumni. The program should also support and cultivate the development of professionalism and ethical behavior by fostering diversity of faculty, students, and staff, open communication, leadership, and scholarship. Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include: • Established policies regarding ethical behavior by faculty, staff and students that are regularly reviewed and readily available • Student, faculty, and patient groups involved in promoting diversity, professionalism and/or leadership support for their activities • Focus groups and/or surveys directed towards gathering information on student, faculty, patient, and alumni perceptions of the cultural environment
Standard 2-12	Graduates must be competent in providing dental hygiene care for all patient populations including: 1) child 2) adolescent 3) adult 4) geriatric 5) special needs Intent: An appropriate patient pool should be available to provide a wide scope of patient experiences that include patients whose medical, physical, psychological, developmental, intellectual or social conditions may make it necessary to modify procedures in order to provide dental hygiene treatment for that individual. Student experiences should be evaluated for competency and monitored to ensure equal opportunities for each enrolled student. Clinical instruction and experiences should include the dental hygiene process of care compatible with each of these patient populations.
Standard 2-15	Graduates must be competent in interprofessional communication, collaboration and interaction with other members of the health care team to support comprehensive patient care. Intent: Students should understand the roles of members of the health-care team and have interprofessional educational experiences that involve working with other health-care professional students and practitioners. The ability to communicate verbally and in written form is basic to the safe and effective provision of oral health services for diverse populations. Dental Hygienists should recognize the cultural influences impacting the delivery of health services to individuals and communities (i.e. health status, health services and health beliefs).
Standard 2-19	Graduates must be competent in the application of the principles of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care and practice management. Intent: Dental hygienists should understand and practice ethical behavior consistent with the professional code of ethics throughout their educational experiences.

Dental Laboratory Technology		
	Standard 1-7	There must be an active liaison mechanism between the program and dental professionals in the community.
		Intent: The purpose of the active liaison mechanism is to provide a mutual exchange of information for improving the program and meeting employment needs of the community. Meetings, either in-person or virtual, should be held at least once per year.
	Standard 2-1	Admission of students must be based on specific written criteria, procedures and policies. Minimum admissions requirements must include high school diploma or its equivalent. Applicants must be informed of the criteria and procedures for selection, goals of the program, curricular content, course transferability, and employment opportunities for dental laboratory technicians.
		Intent: Because the curriculum is science and technology-oriented and enrollment is limited by facility capacity, special program admissions criteria and procedures may be necessary. The program administrator and faculty, in cooperation with appropriate institutional personnel establish admissions procedures which are non-discriminatory, contribute to the quality of the program, and allow selection of students with potential for successfully completing the program.
	Standard 2-7	The basic curriculum must include content in the subject areas: general studies; physical sciences; dental sciences; legal, ethical and historical aspects of dentistry and dental laboratory technology; infectious disease and hazard control management; and, basic laboratory techniques.
		Intent: To ensure that foundational knowledge is established early in the program and that subsequent information is provided which is comprehensive and prepares the student to achieve competence in all components of dental laboratory practice. Content identified in each subject need not constitute a separate course, but the subject areas are included within the curriculum.
	Standard 2-11	The curriculum must include content in the legal, ethical and historical aspects of dentistry and dental laboratory technology to include:

		a) Organizations that advance certification and continuing education for dental technicians and certification of laboratories. b) Work authorization/prescription of the dentist in accordance with the state dental practice act, consistent with current procedures in dental laboratory technology in the geographic area served by the program. c) Federal and state laws and regulations related to operating a dental laboratory and/or working as a dental laboratory technician. d) HIPAA laws related to health care professionals e) Ethics for health care professionals Intent: The dental laboratory technology curriculum prepares students to assume a professional and ethical standard to understand the basic foundation in which the fundamentals of dental laboratory
Dental Therapy		technology were established.
	Standard 1-3	The dental therapy education program must have a stated commitment to a humanistic culture and learning environment that is regularly evaluated. Intent: The dental therapy education program should ensure collaboration, mutual respect, cooperation, and harmonious relationships between and among administrators, faculty, students, staff, and alumni. The program should also support and cultivate the development of professionalism and ethical behavior by fostering diversity of faculty, students, and staff, open communication, leadership, and scholarship. Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include:
		 Established policies regarding ethical behavior by faculty, staff and students that are regularly reviewed and readily available Student, faculty, and patient groups involved in promoting diversity, professionalism and/or leadership support for their activities Focus groups and/or surveys directed towards gathering information on student, faculty, patient, and alumni perceptions of the cultural environment
	Standard 1-4	The program must have policies and practices to: a. achieve appropriate levels of diversity among its students, faculty and staff; b. engage in ongoing systematic and focused efforts to attract and retain students, faculty and staff from diverse backgrounds; and c. systematically evaluate comprehensive strategies to improve the institutional climate for diversity.

	Intent: The program should develop strategies to address the dimensions of diversity including, structure, curriculum and institutional climate. The program should articulate its expectations regarding diversity across its academic community in the context of local and national responsibilities, and regularly assess how well such expectations are being achieved. Programs could incorporate elements of diversity in their planning that include, but are not limited to, gender, racial, ethnic, cultural and socioeconomic. Programs should establish focused, significant, and sustained programs to recruit and retain suitably diverse students, faculty, and staff.
Standard 2-14	Graduates must be competent in managing a diverse patient population and have the interpersonal and communications skills to function successfully in a multicultural work environment.
	Intent: Students should learn about factors and practices associated with disparities in health status among populations, including but not limited to, racial, ethnic, geographic, or socioeconomic groups. In this manner, students will be best prepared for dental therapy practice in a diverse society when they learn in an environment characterized by, and supportive of, diversity and inclusion. Such an environment should facilitate dental therapy education in: • basic principles of culturally competent health care; • basic principles of health literacy and effective communication for all patient populations; • recognition of health care disparities and the development of solutions; • the importance of meeting the health care needs of dentally underserved populations, and; • the development of core professional attributes, such as altruism, empathy, and social accountability, needed to provide effective care in a multi-dimensionally diverse society.
	Dental therapists should be able to effectively communicate with individuals, groups and other health care providers. The ability to communicate verbally and in written form is basic to the safe and effective provision of oral health services for diverse populations. Dental therapists should recognize the cultural influences impacting the delivery of health services to individuals and communities (i.e. health status, health services and health beliefs).
	Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include: student projects demonstrating the ability to communicate effectively with a variety of individuals and groups.

		 examples of individual and community-based oral health projects implemented by students during the previous academic year evaluation mechanisms designed to monitor knowledge and performance
	Standard 2-24	Dental therapy education programs must have students engage in service learning experiences and/or community-based learning experiences.
		Intent: Service learning experiences and/or community-based learning experiences are essential to the development of a culturally competent oral health care workforce. The interaction and treatment of diverse populations in a community-based clinical environment adds a special dimension to clinical learning experience and engenders a life-long appreciation for the value of community service.
	Standard 4-2	Admission policies and procedures must be designed to include recruitment and admission of a diverse student population. Intent: Admissions criteria and procedures should ensure the selection of a diverse student body with the potential for successfully completing the program. The administration and faculty, in cooperation with appropriate institutional personnel, should establish admissions procedures that are non-discriminatory and ensure the quality of the program.
Advanced Education in General Dentistry		
	Goals 2, 6, 7	 Plan and provide multidisciplinary oral health care for a wide variety of patients including patients with special needs. Utilize the values of professional ethics, lifelong learning, patient centered care, adaptability, and acceptance of cultural diversity in professional practice. Understand the oral health needs of communities and engage in community service.
	Standard 1-10	The program must ensure that residents are able to demonstrate the application of the principles of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care, and practice management. Intent: Residents should know how to draw on a range of resources
		such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to

	Τ	
		guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern.
	Standard 2-1	The program must provide didactic and clinical training to ensure upon completion of training, the resident is able to:
General Practice		 a) Act as a primary oral health care provider to include: providing emergency and multidisciplinary comprehensive oral health care; obtaining informed consent; functioning effectively within interdisciplinary health care teams, including consultation and referral; providing patient-focused care that is coordinated by the general practitioner; and directing health promotion and disease prevention activities. b) Assess, diagnose and plan for the provision of multidisciplinary oral health care for a wide variety of patients including patients with special needs. c) Manage the delivery of patient-focused oral health care. Intent: "Patients with special needs" is defined in the Definition of Terms on page 10 of this document. Patient-focused care should include concepts related to the patient's social, cultural, behavioral, economic, medical and physical status.
Residency	Goals 2, 7, 8	Plan and provide multidisciplinary oral health care for a wide
		variety of patients including patients with special needs. 7. Utilize the values of professional ethics, lifelong learning, patient centered care, adaptability, and acceptance of cultural diversity in professional practice. 8. Understand the oral health needs of communities and engage in community service
	Standard 1-10	The program must ensure that residents are able to demonstrate the application of the principles of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care, and practice management.

		Intent: Residents should know how to draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern.
	Standard 2-1	The program must provide didactic and clinical training to ensure upon completion of training, the resident is able to:
		 a) Act as a primary oral health care provider to include: providing emergency and multidisciplinary comprehensive oral health care; obtaining informed consent; functioning effectively within interdisciplinary health care teams, including consultation and referral; providing patient-focused care that is coordinated by the general practitioner; and directing health promotion and disease prevention activities. b) Assess, diagnose and plan for the provision of multidisciplinary oral health care for a wide variety of patients including patients with special needs. c) Manage the delivery of patient-focused oral health care. Intent: "Patients with special needs" is defined in the Definition of Terms on page 10 of this document.
		Patient-focused care should include concepts related to the patient's social, cultural, behavioral, economic, medical and physical status.
Dental Anesthesiology		
	Standard 1-10	The program must ensure that residents are able to demonstrate the application of the principles of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care, and practice management.
		Intent: Residents should know how to draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern.

	Goals 2, 7	Plan and provide anesthesia-related care for the full range of dental patients, including patients with special needs. Utilize the values of professional ethics, lifelong learning, patient-centered care, adaptability, and acceptance of cultural diversity in professional practice.
	Standard 2-1	The program must list the written competency requirements that describe the intended outcomes of residents' education such that residents completing the program in dental anesthesiology receive training and experience in providing anesthesia care in the most comprehensive manner using pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic methods to manage anxiety and pain in adult and child dental patients, including patients with special needs.
	Standard 2-6	The following list represents the minimum clinical experiences that must be obtained by each resident in the program at the completion of training:
		 a) Eight hundred (800) total cases of deep sedation/general anesthesia to include the following: Three hundred (300) intubated general anesthetics of which at least fifty (50) are nasal intubations and twenty-five (25) incorporate advanced airway management techniques. No more than ten (10) of the twenty five (25) advanced airway technique requirements can be blind nasal intubations. One hundred and twenty five (125) children age seven (7) and under, and Seventy five (75) patients with special needs, and Clinical experiences sufficient to meet the competency requirements (described in Standard 2-1 and 2-2) in managing ambulatory patients, geriatric patients, patients with physical status ASA III or greater, and patients requiring moderate sedation.
Dental Public Health		
	Preface	As a learned profession entrusted by the public to provide for its oral health and general well-being, the profession provides care without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, status with respect to public assistance or marital status.
		The profession has a duty to consider patients' preferences, and their social, economic and emotional circumstances when providing care, as well as to attend to patients whose medical, physical and psychological or social situation make it necessary to modify normal dental routines in order to provide dental treatment. These individuals include, but are not limited to, people with developmental disabilities, cognitive impairments,

		complex medical problems, significant physical limitations, and the vulnerable elderly. The Standards reconfirm and emphasize the importance of educational processes and goals for comprehensive patient care and encourage patient-centered approaches in teaching, research and oral health care delivery.
		The profession adheres to ethical principles of honesty, compassion, kindness, respect, integrity, fairness and charity, as exemplified in the ADA Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct and the ADEA Statement on Professionalism in Dental Education.
	Standard 4-2	Graduates must receive instruction in and be able to apply the principles of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care, practice management, and programs to promote the oral health of individuals and communities.
		Intent: Graduates are expected to know how to draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern. Graduates are expected to respect the culture, diversity, beliefs and values in the community.
Endodontics		
	Preface	As a learned profession entrusted by the public to provide for its oral health and general well-being, the profession provides care without regard to race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, status with respect to public assistance, or marital status.
		The profession has a duty to consider patients' preferences, and their social, economic and emotional circumstances when providing care, as well as to attend to patients whose medical, physical and psychological or social situation make it necessary to modify normal dental routines in order to provide dental treatment. These individuals include, but are not limited to, people with developmental disabilities, cognitive impairments, complex medical problems, significant physical limitations, and the vulnerable elderly. The Standards reconfirm and emphasize the importance of educational processes and goals for comprehensive patient care and encourage patient-centered approaches in teaching, research and oral health care delivery.
		The profession adheres to ethical principles of honesty, compassion, kindness, respect, integrity, fairness and charity, as exemplified in the ADA Principles of Ethics and Code of

		Professional Conduct and the ADEA Statement on Professionalism in Dental Education.
	Standard 1-1	Graduates must receive instruction in the application of the principles of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care, and practice management. Intent: Graduates should know how to draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern.
Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology		nove, emeany arguable, airisire, or of public concern.
	Preface	As a learned profession entrusted by the public to provide for its oral health and general well-being, the profession provides care without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, status with respect to public assistance or marital status.
		The profession has a duty to consider patients' preferences, and their social, economic and emotional circumstances when providing care, as well as to attend to patients whose medical, physical and psychological or social situation make it necessary to modify normal dental routines in order to provide dental treatment. These individuals include, but are not limited to, people with developmental disabilities, cognitive impairments, complex medical problems, significant physical limitations, and the vulnerable elderly. The Standards reconfirm and emphasize the importance of educational processes and goals for comprehensive patient care and encourage patient-centered approaches in teaching, research and oral health care delivery.
		The profession adheres to ethical principles of honesty, compassion, kindness, respect, integrity, fairness and charity, as exemplified in the ADA Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct and the ADEA Statement on Professionalism in Dental Education.
	Standard 4-8.1	Graduates must have an understanding of the principles of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care, and practice management.

		Intent: Graduates should know how to draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern
Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology		
	Preface	As a learned profession entrusted by the public to provide for its oral health and general well-being, the profession provides care without regard to race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, status with respect to public assistance, or marital status.
		The profession has a duty to consider patients' preferences, and their social, economic and emotional circumstances when providing care, as well as to attend to patients whose medical, physical and psychological or social situation make it necessary to modify normal dental routines in order to provide dental treatment. These individuals include, but are not limited to, people with developmental disabilities, cognitive impairments, complex medical problems, significant physical limitations, and the vulnerable elderly. The Standards reconfirm and emphasize the importance of educational processes and goals for comprehensive patient care and encourage patient-centered approaches in teaching, research and oral health care delivery.
		The profession adheres to ethical principles of honesty, compassion, kindness, respect, integrity, fairness and charity, as exemplified in the ADA Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct and the ADEA Statement on Professionalism in Dental Education.
	Standard 4-3	Graduates must be able to apply the principles of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care, and practice management.
		Intent: Graduates should know how to draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern.
Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery (Residency)		
	Preface	As a learned profession entrusted by the public to provide for its oral health and general well-being, the profession provides care

	without regard to race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, status with respect to public assistance, or marital status. The profession has a duty to consider patients' preferences, and their social, economic and emotional circumstances when providing care, as well as to attend to patients whose medical, physical and psychological or social situation make it necessary to modify normal dental routines in order to provide dental treatment. These individuals include, but are not limited to, people with developmental disabilities, cognitive impairments, complex medical problems, significant physical limitations, and the vulnerable elderly. The Standards reconfirm and emphasize the importance of educational processes and goals for comprehensive patient care and encourage patient-centered approaches in teaching, research and oral health care delivery.
	The profession adheres to ethical principles of honesty, compassion, kindness, respect, integrity, fairness and charity, as exemplified in the ADA Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct and the ADEA Statement on Professionalism in Dental Education.
Standard 4-16	Graduates must receive instruction in the application of the principle of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care, and practice management. Intent: Graduates should know how to draw on a range of
	resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern.
Standard 1-11	The program and sponsoring institution's collaborative responsibilities must include an ongoing effort for recruitment and retention of a diverse and inclusive workforce of faculty, residents and staff.
	 Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include: Nondiscriminatory policies and practices at all organizational levels. Mission and policy statements which promote diversity and inclusion. Evidence of training in diversity, inclusion, equity, and belonging.
Standard 2-1.7	The program must have a stated commitment to a humanistic culture and learning environment that is regularly evaluated.
	Intent: The program should ensure collaboration, mutual respect, cooperation, and harmonious relationships between and among administrators, faculty, residents, staff, and alumni. The program

		should also support and cultivate the development of
		professionalism and ethical behavior by fostering diversity of
		faculty, residents, and staff, open communication, leadership, and
		<u>scholarship.</u>
		Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include:
		 Established policies regarding ethical behavior
		by faculty, staff and residents that are regularly
		reviewed and readily available
		 Resident, faculty, and patient groups involved
		in promoting diversity, professionalism and/or
		<u>leadership support for their activities</u>
		 Focus groups and/or surveys directed towards
		gathering information on resident, faculty,
		patient, and alumni perceptions of the cultural
		<u>environment</u>
Standa	ard 2-1.8	The program director and teaching staff must lead by example in all
		aspects of professionalism.
		Intent: The purpose of the program's culture and environment is to
		promote excellence in safe, high-quality care, preparing residents
		for lifetime learning and a successful future professional life.
		Practices and policies that exemplify faculty well-being and
		promote resident well-being in a humanistic environment, while not
		compromising on quality and safety, create the optimal culture and
		environment. Professionalism, integrity, and an open culture;
		where problems can be raised and solved as a team, allow for
		progress and flexibility while promoting a shared responsibility of
		all involved to create and maintain an optimal educational
		environment. Program directors' and teaching staff model, at all
		times, excellence in patient care, demonstrated by safe and
		compassionate clinical practice, integrity in their approach to
		service and scholarly activity, respect for others, especially
		residents, in their efforts to assure an optimal educational
		environment.
		Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include:
		Written evaluations from faculty and the chair of the
		program director and teaching staff.
		 Anonymous surveys of the program director and
		teaching staff by residents evaluating the core aspects
		of the standard.
		 External evaluations of culture, climate, and learning
		environment.
		 <u>Policies and practices that promote the ability for</u> residents to raise concerns in an anonymous fashion
Standa	ard 2-1.9	<u>and demonstrate the prohibition of retaliation</u> Lines of communication must be established and ongoing within
Standa	uu 2-1.9	the program to address culture concerns without the fear of
		• •
		retaliation.

	 Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include: Written evaluations from faculty that occur at least twice a year. Anonymous surveys of the program director and teaching staff by residents evaluating the core aspects of the standard. Anonymous evaluations of culture, climate, and learning environment. Policies and practices that promote the ability for residents to raise concerns in an anonymous fashion and demonstrate the prohibition of retaliation. Policies and requirements that promote an optimal educational experience, working culture and environment.
Standard 4-18.1	The program must provide resident supervision to promote safe and optimal patient care.
	Intent: Comprehensive guidelines and consistent communication assist residents in decision making regarding the balance between a relatively autonomous learning environment and direct supervision of patient care. Patient care is a shared responsibility among faculty and residents with the faculty ultimately responsible.
	Supervision ensures safety and excellence. Supervision is accomplished through a variety of methods including direct supervision with physical presence and where applicable indirect supervision including the use of fellows or residents or through means of telecommunication and general oversight.
	Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include: Resident supervision policy Documented resident responsibility based on OMS benchmarks or similar metrics. Faculty and resident call schedules Documentation of didactic and clinical competency or Core Entrustable Professional Activities (EPAs) Didactic sessions focused on the process of progressive entrustment.
Standard 4-21 (4-21.1 – 4-21.4)	Residents must be educated in wellness, impairment, burnout, depression, suicide, and substance abuse as well as on the importance of adequate rest to avoid fatigue in order to balance their professional lives and deliver high quality care.
	Intent: It is understood that many competing interests exist both within and outside of their commitment to residency obligations. Residents need to understand the value of wellness and fatigue and have the ability to openly address individual and programmatic concerns. Programs need to be responsive to concerns raised regarding out of balance or inappropriate burdens placed on residents that undermine the primary purposes of their training.

	1	
		Programs also need to look for resident duties that could be
		reasonably offloaded to non-residents in order to optimize resident
		education, promote wellness, and avoid fatigue.
		Examples of evidence to demonstrate compliance may include:
		 ROAAOMS Wellness Webinar Series
		 Resident Evaluations of the program
		 SCORE and/or institutional modules on wellness
		4.21.1 The program must have policies in place that promote
		faculty and residents looking out for the wellness of one another
		and fitness for patient care with mechanisms for reporting at-risk
		behaviors without the fear of retaliation.
		4-21.2 Programs must blend supervised patient care, teaching
		responsibilities of residents, didactic commitments, and scholarly
		activity of residents such that it is accomplished without the
		excessive reliance on residents to fulfill other service needs and
		without compromising wellness and fatigue.
		4-21.3 Resident work hours must be monitored and reviewed.
		<i>Intent:</i> It is required that programs have a system in place for
		ongoing monitoring of weekly work hours including total number
		of hours worked, time off between shifts, and days off per week.
		This data can then be reviewed in appropriate settings such as
		faculty and resident meetings, annual reviews, and morbidity and
		mortality conferences. The tracking of hours creates data for shared
		decision making and assists programs in addressing outlying
		individuals or situations that could be avoided with more effective
		training and programmatic structure.
		4-21.4 The program must have policies and procedures which
		allow residents leaves of absence from work in order to address
		issues not limited to fatigue, illness, family emergencies, and
		parental leave.
Oral and		
Maxillofacial		
Surgery		
(Fellowship)		
	None	
Oral Medicine		
	Cools 6. 7	6 Hilling the valves of anofossion-1-thir- 1:6-11
	Goals 6, 7	6. Utilize the values of professional ethics, lifelong learning, patient
		centered care, adaptability, and acceptance of cultural diversity in
		professional practice. 7. Understand the oral health needs of communities and engage in
		community service.
		Community Scrvice.
	l	

	r	
	Standard 1-12	The program must ensure that residents are able to demonstrate the application of the principles of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care, and practice management.
		Intent: Residents should know how to draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern.
	Standard 2-12	The educational program must provide training to the level of competency for the resident to:
		a) perform a comprehensive physical evaluation and medical risk assessment on patients who have medically complex conditions and make recommendations for dental treatment plans and modifications;
Orofacial Pain		
	Goals 2, 10	2. Plan and provide interdisciplinary/multidisciplinary health care for a wide variety of patients with orofacial pain.
		10. Utilize the values of professional ethics, lifelong learning, patient centered care, adaptability, and acceptance of cultural diversity in professional practice.
	Standard 1-11	The program must ensure that residents are able to demonstrate the application of the principles of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care, and practice management.
		Intent: Residents should know how to draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern.
	Standard 2-10	The program must provide training to ensure that upon completion of the program, the resident is able to manage patients with special needs.
		Intent: The program is expected to provide educational instruction, either didactically or clinically, during the program which enhances the resident's ability to manage patients with special needs.
Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics (Residency)		

	Preface	As a learned profession entrusted by the public to provide for its oral health and general well-being, the profession provides care without regard to race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, status with respect to public assistance, or marital status. The profession has a duty to consider patients' preferences, and
		their social, economic and emotional circumstances when providing care, as well as to attend to patients whose medical, physical and psychological or social situation make it necessary to modify normal dental routines in order to provide dental treatment. These individuals include, but are not limited to, people with developmental disabilities, cognitive impairments, complex medical problems, significant physical limitations, and the vulnerable elderly. The Standards reconfirm and emphasize the importance of educational processes and goals for comprehensive patient care and encourage patient-centered approaches in teaching, research and oral health care delivery.
		The profession adheres to ethical principles of honesty, compassion, kindness, respect, integrity, fairness and charity, as exemplified in the ADA Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct and the ADEA Statement on Professionalism in Dental Education.
	Standard 1-1	Graduates must receive instruction in the application of the principles of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care, and practice management.
		Intent: Graduates should know how to draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern.
	Standard 4-3.2	An advanced dental education program in orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics requires extensive and comprehensive clinical experience, which must be representative of the character of orthodontic problems encountered in private practice. Intent: The intent is to ensure there is diversity in the patient population so that the students/residents will learn to treat a variety of orthodontic problems from the primary to adult dentition.
Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics (Fellowship)		
	None	

Pediatric Dentistry		Note: The nature of the discipline requires treating infant, child, adolescent and patients with special healthcare needs.
	Preface	As a learned profession entrusted by the public to provide for its oral health and general well-being, the profession provides care without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, status with respect to public assistance or marital status.
		The profession has a duty to consider patients' preferences, and their social, economic and emotional circumstances when providing care, as well as to attend to patients whose medical, physical and psychological or social situation make it necessary to modify normal dental routines in order to provide dental treatment. These individuals include, but are not limited to, people with developmental disabilities, cognitive impairments, complex medical problems, significant physical limitations, and the vulnerable elderly. The Standards reconfirm and emphasize the importance of educational processes and goals for comprehensive patient care and encourage patient-centered approaches in teaching, research and oral health care delivery.
		The profession adheres to ethical principles of honesty, compassion, kindness, respect, integrity, fairness and charity, as exemplified in the ADA Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct and the ADEA Statement on Professionalism in Dental Education.
	Standard 4-6	Didactic Instruction: Didactic instruction in behavior guidance must be at the in-depth level and include:
		 a. Physical, psychological and social development. This includes the basic principles and theories of child development and the age-appropriate behavior responses in the dental setting; b. Child behavior guidance in the dental setting and the objectives of various guidance methods; c. Principles of communication, listening techniques, and communication with parents and caregivers; d. Principles of informed consent relative to behavior guidance and treatment options; e. Principles and objectives of sedation and general anesthesia as behavior guidance techniques, including indications and contraindications for their use in accordance with the REFERENCE MANUAL; and f. Recognition, treatment and management of adverse events related to sedation and general anesthesia, including airway problems.
		Intent: The term "treatment" refers to direct care provided by the residents/student for that condition or clinical problem. The term

	"management" refers to provision of appropriate care and /or referral for a condition consistent with contemporary practice and in the best interest of the patient.
4-7	Clinical Experiences: Clinical experiences in behavior guidance must enable students/residents to achieve competency in patient management using behavior guidance: a. Experiences must include infants, children and adolescents including individuals with special health care needs, using: 1. Non-pharmacological techniques; 2. Sedation; and 3. Inhalation analgesia. b. Students/Residents must perform adequate patient encounters to achieve competency: 1. Students/Residents must complete a minimum of 20 nitrous oxide analgesia patient encounters as primary operator; and 2. Students/Residents must complete a minimum of 50 patient encounters in which sedative agents other than nitrous oxide (but may include nitrous oxide in combination with other agents) are used. The agents may be administered by any route.
Standard 4-7	Clinical Experiences: Clinical experiences in behavior guidance must enable students/residents to achieve competency in patient management using behavior guidance: a. Experiences must include infants, children and adolescents including individuals with special health care needs, using: 1. Non-pharmacological techniques; 2. Minimal Ssedation; and 3. Moderate sedation Inhalation analgesia. b. Students/Residents must perform adequate patient encounters to achieve competency: 1. Students/Residents must complete a minimum of 20 nitrous oxide analgesia patient encounters as primary operator; and 2. 1. Students/Residents must complete a minimum of 50 patient encounters in which sedative agents other than nitrous oxide (but may include nitrous oxide in combination with other agents) are used to sedate pediatric

	agents may be administered by any route.
	agents may be administred by any foute.
Standard 4-20	Didactic Instruction: Didactic instruction must be at the understanding level and include: a. The design, implementation and management of a contemporary practice of pediatric dentistry, emphasizing business skills for proper and efficient practice; b. Jurisprudence and risk management specific to the practice of Pediatric Dentistry; c. Use of technology in didactic, clinical and research endeavors, as well as in practice management and telehealth systems; d. Principles of biomedical ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professionalism as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care and practice management; and e. Working cooperatively with consultants and clinicians in other dental specialties and health fields, including interprofessional education activities. Didactic instruction must be at the in-depth level for the following: f. The development and monitoring of systems for
	 f. The development and monitoring of systems for prevention and management of adverse events and medical emergencies in the dental setting; g. Exposure to the principles of quality management systems and the role of continuous process improvement in achieving overall quality in the dental practice setting; h. Exposure to the principles of ethics and professionalism in dental practice is an integral component of all aspects of this process improvement experience; and i. Employing principles of quality improvement, infection control, and safety, including an understanding of the mechanisms to ensure a safe practice environment.
	Intent: (d) Graduates should draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern, (e) The student/resident learns to prevent, recognize and manage common medical emergencies for infants and children through adolescence and when to refer to other health care professionals and (g) Graduates should experience the elements of process improvement and the manner in which to involve the entire team
Standard 4-22	Didactic Instruction: Didactic instruction must be at the in-depth level and include: a. Formulation of treatment plans for individuals with special health care needs. b. Medical conditions and the alternatives in

	the delivery of dental care that those conditions might require. c. Management of the oral health of individuals with special health care needs, i.e.: 1. Medically compromised; 2. Physically compromised or disabled; and diagnosed to have developmental disabilities, psychiatric disorders or psychological disorders. 3. Transition to adult practices Intent: (a) The student/resident learns how and when to modify dental care options as required by a patient's medical condition; and (c) Individuals with special health care needs include those with medical, physical, psychological or social circumstances that require modification in normal dental routines to provide dental treatment.
Standard	
	 a. Examination, treatment and management of infants, children, adolescents and individuals with special health care needs; and b. Participation in interprofessional experiences and collaborative care, including craniofacial teams. Intent: Pediatric dentists often remain providers of oral health care for individuals with special health care needs into adulthood and should be able to render basic dental services to adults with special health care needs. These individuals include (but are not limited to) individuals with developmental disabilities, craniofacial anomalies, complex medical problems and significant physical limitations. Management should be understood to include consideration of social, educational, vocational and other aspects of special health care needs.
Standard	Didactic Instruction: Didactic instruction must be at the understanding level and include:
	 a. The fundamental domains of child advocacy including knowledge about the disparities in the delivery of dental care, issues pertaining to access to dental care and possible solutions; b. The social determinants of health and the impact on general and oral health; c. Services available through healthcare and oral healthcare programs for at-risk populations, such as U.S. governmental programs (e.g., Medicaid and SCHIP); and

		d. Principles of learning and teaching to diverse audiences. Intent: Pediatric dentists serve as the primary advocates for the oral health of children. The intent of the competency standards is to ensure that the resident is adequately trained to assume this role. Such training includes enhancing knowledge about oral health disparities and available services within the state and federal programs directed at meeting those needs. It also includes knowledge about their role as advisors to policy makers and organized dentistry.
	Standard 4-29	Experiences: Experiences must provide exposure of the student/resident to: a. Communicating, teaching, and collaborating with groups and individuals on children's oral health issues; and/or b. Advocating and advising public health policy legislation and regulations to protect and promote the oral health of children; and/or c. Participating at the local, state and/or national level in organized dentistry and child advocacy groups/organizations to represent the oral health needs of children, particularly the underserved.
Periodontics	Preface	As a learned profession entrusted by the public to provide for its oral health and general well-being, the profession provides care without regard to race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, status with respect to public assistance, or marital status. The profession has a duty to consider patients' preferences, and their social, economic and emotional circumstances when providing care, as well as to attend to patients whose medical, physical and psychological or social situation make it necessary to modify normal dental routines in order to provide dental treatment. These individuals include, but are not limited to, people with developmental disabilities, cognitive impairments, complex medical problems, significant physical limitations, and the vulnerable elderly. The Standards reconfirm and emphasize the importance of educational processes and goals for comprehensive patient care and encourage patient-centered approaches in teaching, research and oral health care delivery.

		The profession adheres to ethical principles of honesty, compassion, kindness, respect, integrity, fairness and charity, as exemplified in the ADA Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct and the ADEA Statement on Professionalism in Dental Education.
	Standard 1-1	Graduates must receive instruction in the application of the principles of ethical reasoning, ethical decision making and professional responsibility as they pertain to the academic environment, research, patient care, and practice management. Intent: Graduates should know how to draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical
		theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive, or of public concern.
	Standard 2-1.a	The program director must have primary responsibility for the organization and execution of the educational and administrative components of the program. The director must devote sufficient time to the program to include the following:
		a. Utilize a faculty that can offer a diverse educational experience in biomedical, behavioral and clinical sciences;
Prosthodontics		
	Preface	As a learned profession entrusted by the public to provide for its oral health and general well-being, the profession provides care without regard to race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, status with respect to public assistance, or marital status.
		The profession has a duty to consider patients' preferences, and their social, economic and emotional circumstances when providing care, as well as to attend to patients whose medical, physical and psychological or social situation make it necessary to modify normal dental routines in order to provide dental treatment. These individuals include, but are not limited to, people with developmental disabilities, cognitive impairments, complex medical problems, significant physical limitations, and the vulnerable elderly. The Standards reconfirm and emphasize the importance of educational processes and goals for comprehensive patient care and encourage patient-centered approaches in teaching, research and oral health care delivery.
		The profession adheres to ethical principles of honesty, compassion, kindness, respect, integrity, fairness and charity, as exemplified in the ADA Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct and the ADEA Statement on Professionalism in Dental Education.

Page 1402 Appendix 2 Subpage 32 Diversity and Learning Environment Prosthodontics RC CODA Winter 2025

Standard 4-21	Students/Residents must be competent regarding principles of ethical decision making pertaining to academic, research, patient care and practice environments.
	Intent: Students/Residents should be able to draw on a range of resources such as professional codes, regulatory law, and ethical theories to guide judgment and action for issues that are complex, novel, ethically arguable, divisive or of public concern.